arXiv:1212.6157v1 [math.RA] 26 Dec 2012

Similarity of matrices over local rings of length two

Amritanshu Prasad, Pooja Singla, and Steven Spallone

Abstract. Let R be a principal ideal local ring of length two, for example, the ring $R = \mathbf{Z}/p^2\mathbf{Z}$ with p prime. In this paper we develop a theory of normal forms for similarity classes in the matrix rings $M_n(R)$ by interpreting them in terms of extensions of $R[t]$-modules. Using this theory, we describe the similarity classes in $M_n(R)$ for $n \leq 4$, along with their centralizers. Among these, we characterize those classes which are similar to their transposes. Non-self-transpose classes are shown to exist for all $n > 3$. When R has finite residue field of order q, we enumerate the similarity classes and the cardinalities of their centralizers as polynomials in q. Surprisingly, these polynomials turn out to have non-negative integer coefficients.

1. Introduction

1.1. Background. For any ring R, two matrices $A, B \in M_n(R)$ are similar if there exists an invertible matrix $g \in GL_n(R)$ such that $gAg^{-1} = B$. The classification of similarity classes in $M_n(R)$ is equivalent to the classification of $R[t]$-module structures on R^n up to isomorphism. When $R = k$ is a field, $k[t]$ is a principal ideal domain and the structure theorem for finitely generated $k[t]$-modules leads to a classification of similarity classes (see Jacobson [**10**, Chapter 3]).

Among the most important cases is that of $R = \mathbf{Z}$ (the ring of rational integers). Given matrices $A, B \in M_n(\mathbf{Z})$, denote their images in $M_n(\mathbf{Z}/m\mathbf{Z})$ by A_m and B_m. As a possible approach towards solving similarity problem in $M_n(\mathbf{Z})$, we may ask whether the similarity of A_m and B_m for all m implies the similarity of A and B. Unfortunately this

---

Key words and phrases. similarity classes, matrices, local rings, extensions.

naive approach does not work: for example if

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 17 \times 11 + 1 & 25 \times 11 \\ 11^2 & 16 \times 11 + 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } B = \begin{pmatrix} 17 \times 11 + 1 & 11 \\ 25 \times 11^2 & 16 \times 11 + 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

then A_m and B_m are similar in $M_2(\mathbf{Z}/m\mathbf{Z})$ for each positive integer m, but A is not similar to B in $M_n(\mathbf{Z})$ (see Stebe [**20**]).

However for $R = \mathbf{Z}_p$, the ring of p-adic integers, Applegate and Onishi [**1**] proved that $A, B \in M_n(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ are similar if and only if $A_{p^t}, B_{p^t} \in M_n(\mathbf{Z}/p^t\mathbf{Z})$ are similar for all t. Therefore solving the similarity problem for $M_n(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ is equivalent to solving the similarity problems for $M_n(\mathbf{Z}/p^t\mathbf{Z})$ for all $t \geq 1$.

Much effort has been put into conjugacy problem mod p^t. For $R = \mathbf{Z}/p^k\mathbf{Z}$, Davis [**5**] has shown that the problem of similarity of matrices $A, B \in M_n(R)$ is equivalent to the similarity problem of their reductions modulo p provided both A and B satisfy a common polynomial whose reduction modulo p has no repeated roots. In a similar direction, Pomfret [**15**] has shown that for a finite local ring, invertible matrices whose orders are coprime to the characteristic of the residue field are similar if and only if their images in the residue field are similar. Nachaev [**14**] has classified the similarity classes of $M_3(\mathbf{Z}/p^2\mathbf{Z})$, and generalizing his result Avni, Onn, Prasad and Vaserstein [**2**] have classified the similarity classes of $M_3(R)$ for R a finite quotient of a complete discrete valuation ring.

According to Nagornyi [**13**, Section 4]), the similarity problem for $M_{4n}(\mathbf{Z}/p^2\mathbf{Z})$ involves the matrix pair problem for $M_n(\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})$, which is widely believed to be intractable for general n (see Drozd [**7**]). Despite this, there have been some interesting recent discoveries for similarity classes in $M_n(\mathbf{Z}/p^2\mathbf{Z})$, and more generally $M_n(R)$ where R is a principal ideal local ring of length two. Singla [**19**] has proved that the number and sizes of conjugacy classes of $GL_n(R)$ depend on R only through the cardinality of residue field k (for example, the class equations for $GL_n(\mathbf{Z}/p^2\mathbf{Z})$ and $GL_n(\mathbf{F}_p[t]/t^2)$ are the same). Jambor and Plesken [**11**] have proved that the number of similarity classes in $M_n(R)$ that map onto the similarity class of $A \in M_n(k)$ under the natural projection map are in bijective correspondence with the orbits for the action of the centralizer of A in $GL_n(k)$ on the linear dual of the centralizer of A in $M_n(k)$. Their results have enabled them to show that the number of conjugacy classes in $GL_6(\mathbf{Z}/4\mathbf{Z})$ is 300 with the help of the GAP computer algebra system.

A fascinating result on similarity classes comes from model theory: Let M_k denote the number of conjugacy classes in $GL_n(\mathbf{Z}/p^k\mathbf{Z})$. Using a result of Denef and van den Dries [**6**] on p-adic integrals, du Sautoy [**8**] has shown that the associated Poincaré series

$$Z(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} M_k t^k$$

is a rational function of t. This is equivalent to saying that the numbers M_k satisfy a finite recurrence relation in k.

1.2. An outline of this article. For each partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l)$ and each irreducible polynomial p with coefficients in k, consider the $k[t]$-module

$$M_\lambda(p) = k[t]/p^{\lambda_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus k[t]/p^{\lambda_l}.$$

Denote its automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}_{k[t]}(M_\lambda(p))$ by $G_\lambda(p)$.

In this article, when R is a principal ideal local ring of length two, we reduce the problem of classifying the similarity classes of matrices in $M_n(R)$ along with their centralizers to the problem of classifying the orbits for the action of the group $G_\lambda(p)$ on

$$E_\lambda(p) = \mathrm{Ext}^1_{k[t]}(M_\lambda(p), M_\lambda(p))$$

for all pairs (λ, p) where $|\lambda| \deg p \leq n$ (Theorems 2.13 and 3.2). Explicit formulas are given for going between similarity classes in $M_n(R)$ and $G_\lambda(p)$-orbits in $E_\lambda(p)$ (Section 6).

In particular, if R and R' are principal ideal local rings of length two with a fixed isomorphism between their residue fields (such as $\mathbf{Z}/p^2\mathbf{Z}$ and $\mathbf{F}_p[t]/t^2$), then there is a bijection between similarity classes in $M_n(R)$ and $M_n(R')$ which preserves their cardinality (Theorem 3.5). If their residue fields are perfect, then this bijection is canonical.

REMARK 1.1. Jambor and Plesken [**11**] work with Hom rather than with Ext. It would be surprising if there were two essentially different approaches to the similarity problem. But in fact the functors from pairs of $k[t]$-modules to k-vector spaces given by

$$F_1 : (M, N) \mapsto \mathrm{Ext}^1_{k[t]}(M, N) \text{ and } F_2 : (M, N) \mapsto \mathrm{Hom}_{k[t]}(N, M)'$$

(here V' denotes the linear dual of a k-vector space V) are naturally isomorphic. Thus their approach is consistent with ours.

We are able to describe the $G_\lambda(p)$-orbits on $E_\lambda(p)$ for all partition λ with $|\lambda| \leq 4$ (Section 8). This allows us to classify similarity classes along with their centralizers in $M_n(R)$ for $n \leq 4$. Among these similarity classes, we characterize the similarity classes which are self-transpose (non-self-transpose classes exist for $n \geq 3$; see Section 7).

When the residue field is finite of cardinality q, the numbers of similarity classes and their centralizers are computed as polynomial functions of q by symbolic computer calculations [**16**] using the Sage mathematical software [**21**]. This software allows for the possibility of computing the number of similarity classes for $n > 4$ once $G_\lambda(p)$-orbits on $E_\lambda(p)$ are enumerated for partitions of larger integers. In contrast to [**11**] these calculations are carried out using the order q of the residue field as a symbolic variable, and therefore take care of all possible values of q. With this symbolic approach, finding the number of similarity classes in $M_n(R)$ for a fixed $n > 4$ is not a question of having more CPU time (which is negligible for our calculations so far), but rather of extending the results of Section 8 to partitions of positive integers up to n.

Finally, in Section 10, we demonstrate that the basic theory persists for R an arbitrary local ring of length two. In this generality, one must consider the diagonal action of $G_\lambda(p)$ on a product of $E_\lambda(p)$'s.

1.3. Some q-rious positivity.

Warnaar and Zudilin [**22**] used the phrase *q-rious positivity* when they conjectured the positivity of coefficients of naturally occurring polynomials in q, in this case, generalizations of Gaussian binomial coefficients. We have encountered another multitude of such polynomials.

Just for this subsection, let R be a discrete valuation ring with maximal ideal P and with residue field of order q. For each partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l)$, consider the R-module

$$M_\lambda = R/P^{\lambda_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus R/P^{\lambda_l}.$$

Then $\mathrm{Aut}_R(M_\lambda)$ acts on $\mathrm{End}_R(M_\lambda)$ by conjugation:

$$g \cdot X = gXg^{-1} \text{ for } g \in \mathrm{Aut}_R(M_\lambda) \text{ and } X \in \mathrm{End}_R(M_\lambda).$$

One may think of the orbits of this action as similarity classes in $\mathrm{End}_R(M_\lambda)$.

Using the results from Avni-Onn-Prasad-Vaserstein [**2**] and this paper, the number of $\mathrm{Aut}_R(M_\lambda)$-orbits in $\mathrm{End}_R(M_\lambda)$ are now known for several cases, and these are listed in Table 1. The entries for (n, n) and (n, n, n) correspond to similarity classes in $M_2(R/P^n)$ and $M_3(R/P^n)$, and are taken from [**2**]. In the latter entry, $\binom{n}{k}_q$ denotes a Gaussian binomial coefficient, which is well-known to be a polynomial in q with non-negative integer coefficients for which combinatorial interpretations exist; see for example Knuth [**12**]. The remaining entries are inferred from Section 8 of this paper. Using the rational normal form, one may show that the number of similarity classes in $M_n(\mathbf{F}_q)$ is a

Table 1. Number of $\mathrm{Aut}_R(M_\lambda)$-orbits in $\mathrm{End}_R(M_\lambda)$

λ	number of orbits	$q=1$
(n), $n>0$	q^n	1
$(n,1)$, $n>1$	$q^{n+1}+q^n+q$	3
(n,n), $n>0$	$q^{2n}+\cdots+q^n$	$n+1$
$(n,1,1)$	$q^{n+2}+2q^{n+1}+2q^n+2q^{n-1}$	7
(n,n,n), $n>0$	$q^n\left[\binom{n+2}{2}_q+\binom{n}{2}_q\right]$	n^2+n+1
$(2,2,2,2)$	$q^8+q^7+3q^6+3q^5+5q^4+3q^3+3q^2$	19
(1^n), $n>0$	$\sum_\lambda q^{\lambda_1}$	$P(n)$

polynomial in q with non-negative integer coefficients whose value at $q=1$ is the number of partitions of n:

$$\text{number of similarity classes in } M_n(\mathbf{F}_q)=\sum_\lambda q^{\lambda_1},$$

the sum being over all partitions of n.

For matrices with entries in a principal ideal local ring, and more generally, endomorphism algebras of finite torsion modules, there is no theory of rational normal form. The similarity problem is in fact considered to be wild. Therefore, the positivity of polynomials representing the number of similarity classes is a surprising phenomenon which warrants further investigation.

2. The orbit of extensions associated to a matrix

Let R be a principal ideal local ring of length two with maximal ideal (π) and residue field $R/(\pi)=k$.

If k has characteristic 0, then R is necessarily isomorphic to $k[x]/x^2$. If k is perfect but not of characteristic 0, then there are two possibilities: $R=k[x]/x^2$ or $R=W_2(k)$, the quotient of the Witt ring $W(k)$ by the square of its maximal ideal (see Serre [**18**, Ch. II]). Note that $W_2(\mathbf{F}_p)=\mathbf{Z}/p^2\mathbf{Z}$.

An $n\times n$ matrix $\mathbf{A}$ with entries in R determines an $R[t]$-module $M^{\mathbf{A}}$ as follows: the underlying R-module of $M^{\mathbf{A}}$ is R^n, and t acts as left multiplication by $\mathbf{A}$ when the elements of $M^{\mathbf{A}}$ are thought of as column vectors. Taking $\mathbf{A}$ to $M^{\mathbf{A}}$ gives rise to a bijective correspondence between similarity classes in $M_n(R)$ and isomorphism classes of $R[t]$-modules whose underlying R-module is R^n.

Let A denote the image of $\mathbf{A}$ in $M_n(k)$ under the residue class map $r: M_n(R)\to M_n(k)$. Let M^A denote the $k[t]$-module with underlying vector space k^n where t acts by A. This $k[t]$-module can also be viewed as an $R[t]$-module via the homomorphism $R[t] \to k[t]$ that is obtained by taking the residue classes of coefficients modulo (π).

We have a short exact sequence of $R[t]$-modules

$$(\xi_{\mathbf{A}}) \qquad \begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & M^A \arrow[r, "\pi"] & M^{\mathbf{A}} \arrow[r, "p"] & M^A \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd},$$

where π is used to denote the map $x \mapsto \pi x$ for each $x \in k^n$, and $p : R^n \to k^n$ takes a vector to the residues of its coordinates modulo (π). In this manner, $\mathbf{A} \in M_n(R)$ gives rise to an element $\xi_{\mathbf{A}} \in E_{R[t]}(M^A, M^A)$ (here $E_{R[t]}$ is abbreviated notation for the functor $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{R[t]}$).

The forgetful functor from the category of $R[t]$-modules to the category of R-modules induces a homomorphism

$$\omega : E_{R[t]}(M^A, M^A) \to E_R(M^A, M^A).$$

The kernel of ω consists of those $R[t]$-extensions which split as R-extensions; in other words, those extensions

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & M^A \arrow[r] & E \arrow[r] & M^A \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd}$$

where E is a vector space over k. But these are the same as the extensions in $E_{k[t]}(M^A, M^A)$.

The result is the exact sequence

(2.1)

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & E_{k[t]}(M^A, M^A) \arrow[r, "\iota"] & E_{R[t]}(M^A, M^A) \arrow[r, "\omega"] & E_R(M^A, M^A). \end{tikzcd}$$

Let G_A denote the centralizer of A in $GL_n(k)$. The group $G_A \times G_A$ acts on each term in (2.1) by group automorphisms. This is because Ext is a functor in each argument and G_A is contained in the group of automorphisms of M^A in the category of $R[t]$-modules (and therefore also $k[t]$-modules and R-modules). Using the diagonal embedding $G_A \hookrightarrow G_A \times G_A$, restrict this action to G_A and denote it by $(g, \xi) \mapsto {}^g\xi$. These actions are discussed in greater detail in Section 5.3. For now it suffices to note that, for $g \in G_A$ and two extensions ξ and ξ', $\xi' = {}^g\xi$ if and only if there exists a morphism $\phi : E \to E'$ such that the diagram

$$(2.2) \qquad \begin{tikzcd} (\xi) & 0 \arrow[r] & M^A \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "g"] & E \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\phi"] & M^A \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "g"] & 0 \\ (\xi') & 0 \arrow[r] & M^A \arrow[r] & E' \arrow[r] & M^A \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. It follows that the maps ι and ω preserve these actions.

Write ξ_0 for $\omega(\xi_{\mathbf{A}})$ (which is independent of $\mathbf{A}$).

Lemma 2.3. *The extension* $\xi_0 \in E_R(M^A, M^A)$ *is invariant under the action of* G_A. *Consequently, the subset* $\omega^{-1}(\xi_0)$ *is preserved by the action of* G_A *on* $E_{R[t]}(M^A, M^A)$.

Proof. By the characterization (2.2) of the G_A-action, it suffices to show that there exists an R-module homomorphism $\mathbf{g} : M^{\mathbf{A}} \to M^{\mathbf{A}}$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & M^A \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "g"] & M^{\mathbf{A}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\mathbf{g}"] & M^A \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "g"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & M^A \arrow[r] & M^{\mathbf{A}} \arrow[r] & M^A \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. But any $\mathbf{g} \in M_n(R)$ with $r(\mathbf{g}) = g$ gives such a homomorphism. □

The proof of the following lemma is straightforward:

Lemma 2.4. *Intersecting a similarity class in* $M_n(R)$ *with* $r^{-1}(A)$ *gives rise to a bijective correspondence between the set of similarity classes in* $M_n(R)$ *whose image in* $M_n(k)$ *is the similarity class of* A *and the set of* $r^{-1}(G_A)$*-orbits in* $r^{-1}(A)$.

Therefore, the set of $GL_n(R)$-similarity classes intersecting $r^{-1}(A)$ is the same as the set of $r^{-1}(G_A)$-orbits in $r^{-1}(A)$.

Theorem 2.5. *The map* $\mathbf{A} \mapsto \xi_{\mathbf{A}}$ *induces a bijection from the set of* $r^{-1}(G_A)$*-orbits in* $r^{-1}(A)$ *to the set of* G_A*-orbits in* $\omega^{-1}(\xi_0)$.

Proof. Suppose $\mathbf{A}' = \mathbf{g}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{g}^{-1}$ for some $\mathbf{g} \in r^{-1}(G_A)$. Then the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & M^A \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "g"] & M^{\mathbf{A}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\mathbf{g}"] & M^A \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "g"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & M^A \arrow[r] & M^{\mathbf{A}'} \arrow[r] & M^A \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd} \tag{2.6}$$

commutes (here g is the image of $\mathbf{g}$ in $GL_n(k)$). Therefore, $\xi_{\mathbf{A}'} = {}^g\xi_{\mathbf{A}}$, proving that the map in the statement is well-defined on the level of $r^{-1}(G_A)$-orbits.

If $\xi_{\mathbf{A}}$ and $\xi_{\mathbf{A}'}$ lie in the same G_A-orbit of $E_{R[t]}(M^A, M^A)$, then there exists $g \in G_A$ and a homomorphism $\mathbf{g} : M^{\mathbf{A}} \to M^{\mathbf{A}'}$ of $R[t]$-modules such that the diagram (2.6) commutes. The commutativity of this diagram means that $g = r(\mathbf{g})$. The fact that $\mathbf{g}$ is an $R[t]$-module homomorphism means that $\mathbf{g}\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}'\mathbf{g}$. Therefore $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{A}'$ lie in the same $r^{-1}(G_A)$-orbit of $r^{-1}(A)$, showing that the map in the statement is injective.

For any extension of $R[t]$-modules

$$(\xi) \qquad \begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & M^A \arrow[r, "i"] & E \arrow[r, "p"] & M^A \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd}$$

which is in $\omega^{-1}(\xi_0)$, E is isomorphic to R^n as an R-module. The matrix $\mathbf{g}$ by which t acts on R^n must lie in $r^{-1}(A)$ because p is an $R[t]$-module homomorphism. The orbit of ξ is the image of the orbit of $\mathbf{g}$ under the map in the statement, showing that the map is surjective. □

The exact sequence (2.1) implies that $\omega^{-1}(\xi_0)$ is a coset of the image of $E_{k[t]}(M^A, M^A)$ in $E_{R[t]}(M^A, M^A)$, and therefore is in bijective correspondence with $E_{k[t]}(M^A, M^A)$. In fact, a stronger statement is true:

Theorem 2.7. *There exists a bijection between the sets $\omega^{-1}(\xi_0)$ and $E_{k[t]}(M^A, M^A)$ which preserves the action of G_A.*

Proof. For any $\tilde{\xi}_0 \in \omega^{-1}(\xi_0)$,

$$\iota_{\tilde{\xi}_0} : \xi \mapsto \iota(\xi) + \tilde{\xi}_0$$

is a bijection between $E_{k[t]}(M^A, M^A)$ and $\omega^{-1}(\xi_0)$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_{\tilde{\xi}_0}({}^g\xi) &= \iota({}^g\xi) + \tilde{\xi}_0 \\ &= {}^g\iota(\xi) + \tilde{\xi}_0. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$${}^g\iota_{\tilde{\xi}_0}(\xi) = {}^g\iota(\xi) + {}^g\tilde{\xi}_0.$$

Therefore $\iota_{\tilde{\xi}_0}$ preserves the action of G_A if and only if $\tilde{\xi}_0$ is fixed by G_A. The extension $\tilde{\xi}_0$ is always of the form $\xi_{\mathbf{A}_0}$ (defined at the beginning of this section) for some $\mathbf{A}_0 \in M_n(R)$ such that $r(\mathbf{A}_0) = A$. The extension $\xi_{\mathbf{A}_0}$ is fixed by G_A if and only if, for every $g \in G_A$, there exists $\mathbf{g} \in \operatorname{Aut}_{R[t]} M^{\mathbf{A}_0}$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & M^A \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "g"] & M^{\mathbf{A}_0} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\mathbf{g}"] & M^A \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "g"] & 0 \\ 0 \arrow[r] & M^A \arrow[r] & M^{\mathbf{A}_0} \arrow[r] & M^A \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. In other words, $\xi_{\mathbf{A}_0}$ is fixed by G_A if and only if, for each $g \in G_A$, there exists $\mathbf{g}$ which commutes with $\mathbf{A}_0$ and such that $r(\mathbf{g}) = g$. This is provided by the following important lemma (which holds for a more general class of rings R). □

LEMMA 2.8 ([**19**, Lemma 5.1.1]). *Let R be a commutative ring, $\mathbf{m}$ be a maximal ideal in R, and k be the field $R/\mathbf{m}$. For every $A \in M_n(k)$, there exists $\mathbf{A}_0 \in M_n(R)$ with $r(\mathbf{A}_0) = A$ such that, for every B which commutes with A, there exists $\mathbf{B}$ which commutes with $\mathbf{A}_0$ and satisfies $r(\mathbf{B}) = B$.*

We use the method of proof of Jambor and Plesken [**11**, Lemma 6].

PROOF. Suppose the $k[t]$-module M^A has primary decomposition

$$M^A = \bigoplus_p M_p^A,$$

where p runs over a finite set of irreducible polynomials in $k[t]$ and M_p^A denotes the p-primary part of M^A, which is an invariant subspace for A. Since $\operatorname{End}_{k[t]} M^A = \bigoplus_p \operatorname{End}_{k[t]} M_p^A$, it suffices to prove the lemma in the case where M^A is p-primary for a fixed irreducible monic polynomial p. We may then assume that

$$M^A = k[t]/p^{\lambda_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus k[t]/p^{\lambda_r} \tag{2.9}$$

as a $k[t]$-module for some positive integers $\lambda_1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_r$ and that A is the matrix for multiplication by t with respect to the basis

$$e_1, te_1, \ldots, t^{\lambda_1-1}e_1; e_2, te_2, \ldots, t^{\lambda_2-1}e_2; \ldots ; e_r, te_r, \ldots, t^{\lambda_r-1}e_r, \tag{2.10}$$

where $e_1, \ldots, e_r$ are the elements coming from the unit in the r summands of M^A in (2.9). Concretely, A is the matrix $C_{p^{\lambda_1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus C_{p^{\lambda_r}}$, where, for any monic polynomial f, C_f denotes its companion matrix. We shall refer to A as a matrix in *primary rational normal form*.

Let $\mathbf{p} \in R[t]$ be any monic polynomial whose image in $k[t]$ is p. Let $\mathbf{A}_0$ be the matrix for multiplication by t in

$$M^{\mathbf{A}_0} = R[t]/\mathbf{p}^{\lambda_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus R[t]/\mathbf{p}^{\lambda_r} \tag{2.11}$$

with respect to the basis (2.10), where now e_i is the element of $M^{\mathbf{A}_0}$ coming from $1 \in R[t]$ in the ith summand of (2.11). Thus $\mathbf{A}_0 = C_{\mathbf{p}^{\lambda_1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus C_{\mathbf{p}^{\lambda_r}}$, and therefore $r(\mathbf{A}_0) = A$.

Matrices which commute with A may be viewed endomorphisms in $\operatorname{End}_{k[t]} M^A$. By the decomposition (2.9), each $\phi \in \operatorname{End}_{k[t]} M^A$ is determined by the values

$$\phi(e_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} c_{ij}(t)e_i,$$

for polynomials $c_{ij}(t) \in k[t]$ which satisfy $p^{\lambda_i} | c_{ij}(t)p^{\lambda_j}$ for all i, j.

If $\lambda_j \leq \lambda_i$, let $\mathbf{c}_{ij}(t) \in R[t]$ be any lift of $c_{ij}(t)$. If $\lambda_i < \lambda_j$, then $c_{ij}(t) = p^{\lambda_j - \lambda_i}(t)d_{ij}(t)$ for some $d_{ij}(t) \in k[t]$. In this case, pick any lift

$\mathbf{d}_{ij} \in R[t]$ of d_{ij}, and set $\mathbf{c}_{ij} = \mathbf{p}^{\lambda_j - \lambda_i}\mathbf{d}_{ij}$. Thus, $\mathbf{p}^{\lambda_i} | \mathbf{c}_{ij}\mathbf{p}^{\lambda_j}$. It follows that

$$\tilde{\phi}(e_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \mathbf{c}_{ij}(t) e_i.$$

defines an endomorphism of the $R[t]$-module $M^{\mathbf{A}_0}$ of (2.9); in other words, its matrix commutes with $\mathbf{A}_0$. Since $\mathbf{c}_{ij}(t)$ has image $c_{ij}(t)$ in $k[t]$, it follows that the matrix of $\tilde{\phi}$ is a lift of the matrix of ϕ. □

We now return to the usual hypotheses on R. The results of this section can be summarized by the following definition and theorem:

Definition 2.12. Given $\xi \in E_{k[t]}(M^A, M^A)$ consider an extension of $R[t]$-modules

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & M^A \arrow[r, "i"] & E \arrow[r, "p"] & M^A \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd}$$

in the class corresponding to $\iota(\xi) + \xi_{\mathbf{A}_0} \in E_{R[t]}(M^A, M^A)$, where $\mathbf{A}_0$ is the lift of A provided by Lemma 2.8. Then E is a free R-module of rank n. Define $\mathbf{A}_\xi$ to be the matrix of multiplication by t in E with respect to any R-basis which lifts the standard basis of M^A (thus $\mathbf{A}_\xi$ is defined up to conjugation by matrices in the kernel of $r : GL_n(R) \to GL_n(k)$).

Theorem 2.13. *The map $\xi \mapsto \mathbf{A}_\xi$ gives rise to a bijection from the set of G_A-orbits in $E_{k[t]}(M^A, M^A)$ to the set of similarity classes of matrices in $M_n(R)$ which lie above the similarity class of A. If k is perfect and A is in primary rational normal form, this bijection is canonical.*

Proof. This theorem is essentially a consequence of Lemma 2.4 and Theorems 2.5 and 2.7. It only remains to explain why the correspondence is canonical when k is perfect and A is in primary rational normal form. For this it suffices to show that the construction of $\mathbf{A}_0$ can be done in a canonical manner. The only choices involved in the construction of $\mathbf{A}_0$ are the lifts $\mathbf{p}^{\lambda_i}$ of the polynomials p^{λ_i} . In characteristic zero, R is $k[t]/t^2$, and so each coefficient of p^{λ_i} can be lifted to the corresponding constant polynomial in $k[t]/t^2$. In positive characteristic, we use the fact (see Serre [**18**, Chap. II, Prop. 8(i)]) that the residue map $R \to k$ admits a canonical section $s : k \to R$, namely, the unique one which is multiplicative. □

3. Centralizers

For $\mathbf{A} \in M_n(R)$, let $\mathbf{G}_\mathbf{A}$ denote the centralizer in $GL_n(R)$ of $\mathbf{A}$. If $\mathbf{g} \in GL_n(R)$ commutes with $\mathbf{A}$ then its image $g = r(\mathbf{g}) \in GL_n(k)$

commutes with the image $A = r(\mathbf{A})$ of $\mathbf{A}$ in $GL_n(k)$. Therefore, if we let $\bar{G}_{\mathbf{A}} = r(\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{A}})$, then $\bar{G}_{\mathbf{A}}$ is a subgroup of G_A. Lemma 2.8 implies that every matrix $A \in M_n(k)$ has a lift $\mathbf{A}_0$ for which $\bar{G}_{\mathbf{A}_0} = G_A$. However, in general, $\bar{G}_{\mathbf{A}}$ is merely a subgroup of G_A. For example, take $\mathbf{A} = \pi X$ for some $X \in M_n(R)$ whose image in $M_n(k)$ is not a scalar matrix. It has image $A = r(\mathbf{A}) = 0$ in $M_n(k)$, so $G_A = GL_n(k)$. However, $\bar{G}_{\mathbf{A}}$ is the centralizer of $r(X)$ in $GL_n(k)$, a proper subgroup. The general situation is described by the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow Z_A \xrightarrow{i} \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{A}} \xrightarrow{r} \bar{G}_{\mathbf{A}} \longrightarrow 0\ , \tag{3.1}$$

where Z_A denotes the additive group of matrices in $M_n(k)$ that commute with A. The map i is given by $i(z) = 1 + \pi\mathbf{Z}$, for any lift $\mathbf{Z}$ of Z.

Theorem 3.2. *For every $\xi \in E_{k[t]}(M^A, M^A)$,*

$$\bar{G}_{\mathbf{A}_\xi} = \mathrm{Stab}_{G_A}\xi.$$

Proof. We use the notation of Section 2. Since ι preserves G_A-actions, an extension $\xi \in E_{k[t]}(M^A, M^A)$ is fixed by $g \in G_A$ if and only if $\iota(\xi)$ is. Since $\tilde{\xi}_0$ is fixed by G_A, it follows that $\xi_{\mathbf{A}} = \iota(\xi) + \tilde{\xi}_0$ is fixed by g if and only if ξ is. This is equivalent to the existence of an $R[t]$-module homomorphism $\mathbf{g} : M^{\mathbf{A}} \to M^{\mathbf{A}}$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & M^A \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "g"] & M^{\mathbf{A}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\mathbf{g}"] & M^A \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "g"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & M^A \arrow[r] & M^{\mathbf{A}} \arrow[r] & M^A \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. An $R[t]$-module homomorphism $\mathbf{g}$ in the above diagram is an element of $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{A}}$ with $r(\mathbf{g}) = g$. □

Corollary 3.3. *For any $\xi \in E_{k[t]}(M^A, M^A)$, the centralizer of $\mathbf{A}_\xi$ in $GL_n(R)$ has cardinality $|Z_A||\mathrm{Stab}_{G_A}\xi|$. The maximal possible cardinality of the centralizer of a similarity class that lies above A is $|Z_A||G_A|$, which is realized by the similarity class of $\mathbf{A}_0$ (corresponding to $\xi = 0$).*

Corollary 3.3 can be used to refine Theorem 2.13:

Corollary 3.4. *When k is a finite field and $A \in M_n(k)$, then for each positive integer N, the set of similarity classes in $M_n(R)$ lying above A whose centralizer in $GL_n(R)$ has N elements is in canonical bijective correspondence with the set*

$$\{\xi \in G_A \backslash E_{k[t]}(M^A, M^A)\ :\ |Z_A||\mathrm{Stab}_{G_A}\xi| = N\}.$$

It is now possible to compare the similarity classes over two different rings with the same residue field:

THEOREM 3.5. *Let R and R' be principal ideal local rings of length two with maximal ideals P and P' respectively. Fix an isomorphism $R/P \cong R'/P'$ of residue fields. If $A \in M_n(R/P)$ and $A' \in M_n(R'/P')$ correspond under this isomorphism, then there is a cardinality-preserving bijection between the similarity classes of $M_n(R)$ which lie over the similarity class of A and similarity classes of $M_n(R')$ which lie over the similarity class of A'. If the residue fields are perfect, then these bijections are canonical.*

It follows, for example, that there is a canonical cardinality-preserving bijection between the similarity classes in $M_n(\mathbf{Z}/p^2\mathbf{Z})$ and $M_n(\mathbf{F}_p[t]/t^2)$.

4. Primary decomposition

Let $\mathrm{Irr}(k[t])$ denote the set of monic irreducible polynomials in $k[t]$. Let Λ denote the set of all partitions (weakly decreasing finite sequences of positive integers). For a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_l)$, let $|\lambda|$ denote the sum $\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_l$. We denote the empty partition by $\emptyset$, and write $|\emptyset| = 0$.

By the theory of elementary divisors (see Jacobson [**10**, Section 3.9] and Green [**9**]), the similarity classes in $M_n(k)$ (which correspond to isomorphism classes of n-dimensional $k[t]$-modules) are in bijective correspondence with functions $c : \mathrm{Irr}(k[t]) \to \Lambda$ such that

$$\sum_{p \in \mathrm{Irr}(k[t])} (\deg p)|c(p)| = n. \tag{4.1}$$

Let $C(n) = C(k, n)$ denote the set of all such functions. By abuse of notation, we shall use $c \in C(n)$ to denote the corresponding similarity class in $M_n(k)$.

For any $p \in \mathrm{Irr}(k[t])$ and partition $\lambda \in \Lambda$, define a $k[t]$-module

$$M_\lambda(p) = k[t]/p^{\lambda_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus k[t]/p^{\lambda_l}. \tag{4.2}$$

In particular, $M_\emptyset(p)$ denotes the trivial module. Let $G_\lambda(p) = \mathrm{Aut}_{k[t]}(M_\lambda(p))$ and $E_\lambda(p) = E_{k[t]}(M_\lambda(p), M_\lambda(p))$. The following theorem is a consequence of properties of the primary decomposition for $k[t]$-modules:

THEOREM 4.3. *Let $A \in M_n(k)$ be a matrix in the similarity class $c \in C(n)$. Then*

$$G_A \backslash E_{k[t]}(M^A, M^A) = \prod_{p \in \mathrm{Irr}(k[t])} G_{c(p)}(p) \backslash E_{c(p)}(p).$$

This is of course a finite product, since only those p for which $c(p) \neq \emptyset$ contribute.

Remark 4.4. It follows from the above discussion that any $\mathbf{A} \in M_n(R)$ can be written as a direct sum

$$\mathbf{A} = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathrm{Irr}(k[t])} \mathbf{A}_p,$$

where $\mathbf{A}_p$ has p-primary image in $M_n(k)$.

5. Matrix theory of extensions

In order to obtain the similarity classes in $M_n(R)$ using Theorem 2.13 it is necessary to find representatives for the orbits of the action of $G_\lambda(p)$ on $E_\lambda(p)$, as explained in the preceding section. This is achieved by representing elements of $E_\lambda(p)$ by matrices and reducing them to normal forms. This idea was introduced in [**17**, Section 10] in a special case.

Recall that a partition is a finite non-increasing sequence of non-negative integers. Throughout, the notation $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l)$, $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m)$ and $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n)$ will be used. The notation from (4.2) for $k[t]$-modules will be abbreviated in this section; the polynomial $p \in \mathrm{Irr}(k[t])$ will be fixed, and $M_\lambda(p)$ will be denoted simply by M_λ.

5.1. Extensions represented as matrices. Let

$$(\xi) \qquad 0 \longrightarrow M_\mu \xrightarrow{\iota} M \xrightarrow{q} M_\nu \longrightarrow 0 \tag{5.1}$$

be an extension of $k[t]$-modules. Denote by $(e_1, \dots, e_m)$ and $(f_1, \dots, f_n)$ the bases of coordinate vectors in M_μ and M_ν respectively. For each $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $\tilde{f}_i$ be a pre-image of f_i in M, meaning that $q(\tilde{f}_i) = f_i$. The set

$$\iota(e_1), \dots, \iota(e_m), \tilde{f}_1, \dots, \tilde{f}_n \tag{5.2}$$

generates M as a $k[t]$-module. Clearly, $p^{\mu_i}\iota(e_i) = 0$ for each $1 \leq i \leq m$. Also, since $q(p^{\nu_j}\tilde{f}_j) = p^{\nu_j} f_j = 0$, we have $p^{\nu_j}\tilde{f}_j \in \iota(M_\nu)$. Therefore, there exists an $m \times n$ matrix $E(\xi) = (\epsilon_{ij})$ of polynomials such that

$$p^{\nu_j}\tilde{f}_j = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \epsilon_{ij}\iota(e_i) \text{ for every } 1 \leq j \leq n. \tag{5.3}$$

Obviously, the value of ϵ_{ij} matters only modulo p^{μ_i}. Any other lift of f_j is of the form $\tilde{f}_j + \iota(a)$ for some $a \in M_\nu$. Therefore, the value of ϵ_{ij} is determined modulo p^{ν_j} by the extension (ξ). Thus ϵ_{ij} is well-defined modulo $p^{\min(\mu_i, \nu_j)}$ for all (i, j).

In matrix form, a complete set of relations between the generators (5.2) of M is given by the matrix equation:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \iota(e_1) & \cdots & \iota(e_m) & \tilde{f}_1 & \cdots & \tilde{f}_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p^{\mu_1} & & & & \epsilon_{11} & \epsilon_{12} & \cdots & \epsilon_{1n} \\ & p^{\mu_2} & & & \epsilon_{21} & \epsilon_{22} & \cdots & \epsilon_{2n} \\ & & \ddots & & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & p^{\mu_m} & \epsilon_{m1} & \epsilon_{m2} & \cdots & \epsilon_{mn} \\ & & & & -p^{\nu_1} & & & \\ & & & & & -p^{\nu_2} & & \\ & & & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & & & -p^{\nu_n} \end{pmatrix} = \vec{0}.$$

5.2. Baer Equivalence. Two extensions ξ and ξ' are said to be *Baer equivalent* if there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} (\xi) & 0 \arrow[r] & M_\mu \arrow[r, "\iota"] \arrow[d, equal] & M \arrow[r, "q"] \arrow[d, "\phi"] & M_\nu \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 0 \\ (\xi') & 0 \arrow[r] & M_\mu \arrow[r, "\iota'"] & M' \arrow[r, "q'"] & M_\nu \arrow[r] & 0. \end{tikzcd} \tag{5.4}$$

The set of Baer equivalence classes of extensions over M_ν with kernel M_μ (which turns out to be a $k[t]$-module) is called the Baer group and coincides with the Ext functor $E_{k[t]}(M_\nu, M_\mu)$ [**4**, Chap. XIV].

Let $N_{\nu,\mu}$ be the $k[t]$-submodule of matrices $(n_{ij}) \in M_{m\times n}(k[t])$ with $p^{\min(\mu_i,\nu_j)} \mid n_{ij}$ for all i, j. As explained in Section 5.1, the extension (ξ) defines an element

$$E(\xi) = (\epsilon_{ij}) \in M_{m\times n}(k[t])/N_{\mu,\nu}. \tag{5.5}$$

Theorem 5.6. *The map which takes the extension ξ to the matrix $E(\xi)$ is a $k[t]$-module isomorphism from $E_{k[t]}(M_\nu, M_\mu)$ to $M_{m\times n}(k[t])/N_{\mu,\nu}$.*

Proof. For injectivity, note that if ξ and ξ' are isomorphic as in (5.4), we may choose the lifts of f_i in M and M' so as to correspond under ϕ. It will then follow, from the commutativity of the diagram (5.4), that the associated matrices $E(\xi)$ and $E(\xi')$ are the same.

For surjectivity, given a polynomial matrix $E = (\epsilon_{ij})$, we can always construct a group A with formal generators

$$\iota(e_1), \ldots, \iota(e_n), \tilde{f}_1, \ldots, \tilde{f}_m$$

and relations given by (5.1), define $\iota : M_\nu \to M$ by $e_j \mapsto \iota(e_j)$, and $q : A \to M_\mu$ by $\tilde{f}_i \mapsto f_i$ and $\iota(e_j) \mapsto 0$ for all (i, j). The resulting extension ξ will have $E(\xi) = E$. $\square$

5.3. Symmetries of the Baer group. Recall that, for every partition λ, G_λ denotes the automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}_{k[t]}(M_\lambda)$ of M_λ. Given $\xi \in E_{k[t]}(M_\nu, M_\mu)$ as in (5.1), and $g \in G_\mu$, let $g \cdot \xi$ be the extension

$$(g\cdot\xi) \qquad \begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & M_\mu \arrow[r, "\iota\circ g^{-1}"] & M \arrow[r, "q'"] & M_\nu \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd}$$

We have $g' \cdot (g \cdot \xi) = (g'g) \cdot \xi$, or in other words, that $(g, \xi) \mapsto g \cdot \xi$ is a left action of G_μ on $E_{k[t]}(M_\nu, M_\mu)$. Similarly, for $g \in G_\nu$, define $\xi \cdot g$ by

$$(\xi\cdot g) \qquad \begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & M_\mu \arrow[r, "\iota"] & M \arrow[r, "g^{-1}\circ q"] & M_\nu \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd} .$$

The map $(\xi, g) \mapsto \xi \cdot g$ is a right action of G_ν on $E_{k[t]}(M_\nu, M_\mu)$, with commutes with the action of G_μ that we described above. When $\lambda = \mu$, then the extension $\xi' = {}^g\xi$ in (2.2) is $g \cdot \xi \cdot g^{-1}$.

5.4. Matrix transformations. Let $p^\lambda k[t]^r$ denote the submodule of $k[t]^r$ generated by $\{p^{\lambda_i} e_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\}$. Thus $M_\lambda = k[t]^r / p^\lambda k[t]^r$. Every endomorphism g of M_λ lifts to an endomorphism of $k[t]^r$ which preserves $p^\lambda k[t]^r$. Thus g is represented by a matrix $(g_{ij}) \in M_r(k[t])$. We have

$$g(e_j) = \sum_{i=1}^m g_{ij} e_i. \tag{5.7}$$

Let L_λ be the subalgebra of $M_r(k[t])$ which preserves $p^\lambda k[t]^r$. Concretely,

$$L_\lambda = \{x \in M_r(k[t]) \mid p^{\max(0, \lambda_i - \lambda_j)} \text{ divides } x_{ij} \text{ for all } i, j\}$$

Let I_λ denote the two-sided ideal in L_λ consisting of endomorphisms which map $k[t]^r$ into $p^\lambda k[t]^r$:

$$I_\lambda = \{x \in M_r(k[t]) \mid p^{\lambda_i} \text{ divides } x_{ij} \text{ for all } i, j\}.$$

In this way we have a ring isomorphism

$$\mathrm{End}_{k[t]}(M_\lambda) = L_\lambda / I_\lambda. \tag{5.8}$$

Under this isomorphism we have

$$G_\lambda = (L_\lambda / I_\lambda)^* . \tag{5.9}$$

Thus automorphisms in G_λ are represented by matrices (g_{ij}) with entries in $k[t]$ which are subject to the condition that g_{ij} is divisible by $p^{\max(0, \lambda_i - \lambda_j)}$. Two matrices g and g' represent the same element of G_λ if and only if $g_{ij} \equiv g'_{ij} \mod p^{\lambda_i}$. Composition of automorphisms corresponds as usual to matrix multiplication.

Recall that an extension $\xi \in E_{k[t]}(M_\nu, M_\mu)$ is represented by an $m \times n$ matrix $E(\xi)$ with entries in $k[t]$ (Theorem 5.6). For $g \in L_\nu$, let $g^\#$ denote the matrix defined by

$$g^\#_{ij} = p^{\nu_j - \nu_i} g_{ij},$$

which also has entries in $k[t]$.

Theorem 5.10. *For any $\xi \in E_{k[t]}(M_\nu, M_\mu)$, $g \in G_\mu$, and $g' \in G_\nu$, we have*

$$E(g \cdot \xi \cdot g') = gE(\xi)(g')^\#,$$

where on the right hand side, g and g' are viewed as matrices, the product is a matrix product, and the matrix $(g')^\#$ is as above.

Proof. Using the fact that $\iota(e_i) = \iota \circ g^{-1}(g(e_i))$ and (5.7), (5.3) gives

$$p^{\nu_j} \tilde{f}_j = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \epsilon_{ij} (\iota \circ g^{-1}) \Big(\sum_{k=1}^{m} g_{ki} e_k \Big).$$

The above equation is a defining equation analogous to (5.3) for $E(g \cdot \xi)$ and gives its (k,i)th entry to be $\sum_i g_{ki} e_{ij}$, showing that $E(g \cdot \xi) = gE(\xi)$.

Similarly, suppose that the matrix representation of g' (analogous to (5.7)) is given by

$$g'(f_j) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} g'_{kj} f_k,$$

with $g'_{kj} \in k[t]$. A lift of f_j under $g^{-1} \circ q$ can be obtained by taking a lift under q of $g(f_j)$, namely

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} g'_{kj} \tilde{f}_k.$$

By (5.3),

$$\begin{aligned}
p^{\nu_j}\sum_{k=1}^{n} g'_{kj}\tilde{f}_k &= \sum_{k=1}^{n} g'_{kj}p^{\nu_j-\nu_k}t^{\nu_k}\tilde{f}_k \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{n} g'_{kj}p^{\nu_j-\nu_k}\sum_{i=1}^{m}\epsilon_{ik}\iota(e_i) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{m}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\epsilon_{ik}p^{\nu_j-\nu_k}g'_{kj}\iota(e_i) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{m}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\epsilon_{ik}(g')^{\#}_{kj}\iota(e_i)
\end{aligned}$$

which gives the defining equation analogous to (5.3) for the extension $\xi \cdot g'$, showing that $E(\xi \cdot g') = E(\xi)(g')^{\#}$. □

5.5. The Birkhoff moves. We now return to the problem (considered at the beginning of this section) of finding representatives for the G_λ-orbits in E_λ. Theorem 5.10 translates the problem into one of matrix reduction. The permitted row and column operations arise from a set of generators of G_λ. Out goal is to use these operations to reduce matrices to normal forms.

Following Birkhoff [**3**], we may choose as generators of G_λ the transformations on $(x_1, \ldots, x_l) \in M_\lambda$ given by

$(B1)$ $\quad x_i \mapsto x_i + p^{\lambda_i-\lambda_j}\alpha x_j$ for some $\alpha \in k[t]$ and $i < j$

$(B2)$ $\quad x_i \mapsto x_i + \alpha x_j$ for some $\alpha \in k[t]$ and $j < i$

$(B3)$ $\quad x_i \mapsto \alpha x_i$ for some $\alpha \in k[t]$ with $(p, \alpha) = 1$

$(B4)$ $\quad x_i \leftrightarrow x_j$ when $\lambda_i = \lambda_j$ (interchange of coordinates)

The resulting transformations on a matrix in E_λ are:

$(E1)$ $\quad R_i \mapsto R_i + p^{\lambda_i-\lambda_j}\alpha R_j; \quad C_j \mapsto C_j - \alpha C_i$ for $i < j$,

$(E2)$ $\quad R_i \mapsto R_i + \alpha R_j; \quad C_j \mapsto C_j - p^{\lambda_j-\lambda_i}\alpha C_j$ for $j < i$,

$(E3)$ $\quad R_i \mapsto \alpha R_i; \quad C_i \mapsto \alpha^{-1}C_i$,

$(E4)$ $\quad R_i \leftrightarrow R_j; \quad C_i \leftrightarrow C_k$ when $\lambda_i = \lambda_j$,

with $\alpha \in k[t]$ for $(B1)$ and $(B2)$ and $\alpha \in k[t]$ with $(p, \alpha) = 1$ in $(B3)$. In $(B3)$, α^{-1} denotes the inverse of α modulo a sufficiently large power of p (larger than λ_1). We summarize the preceding discussion as a theorem:

Theorem 5.11. *Two matrices E and E' in E_λ lie in the same G_λ-orbit if and only if there is a sequence of operations of the form (E1),…,(E4) which transform E to E'.*

6. Computation of the correspondence

In this section, we will show how to explicitly go from a matrix $\mathbf{A} \in M_n(R)$ to the matrix of the corresponding extension in $E_{k[t]}(M^A, M^A)$ (as defined in Section 5.1) and back. By the primary decomposition, we may assume that the image A of $\mathbf{A}$ in $M_n(k)$ is p-primary for some irreducible polynomial $p(t) \in k[t]$. Further, we may assume that A is in primary rational normal form.

6.1. The extension corresponding to a matrix. Consider a matrix $\mathbf{A} \in M_n(R)$, whose image in $M_n(k)$ is (for some $p \in \mathrm{Irr}(k[t])$)

$$A = C_{p^{\lambda_1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus C_{p^{\lambda_l}}.$$

Take $\mathbf{p}$ to be a monic lift of p to $R[t]$ as in the proof of Lemma 2.8. We may think of R^n as

$$R[t]/\mathbf{p}^{\lambda_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus R[t]/\mathbf{p}^{\lambda_l}, \tag{6.1}$$

and regard $\mathbf{A}$ as an R-linear endomorphism of this space. As in the proof of Lemma 2.8, let

$$\mathbf{A}_0 = C_{\mathbf{p}^{\lambda_1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus C_{\mathbf{p}^{\lambda_l}}.$$

Thus we have two extensions

$$(\xi_{\mathbf{A}}) \qquad \begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & M^A \arrow[r, "\pi"] & M^{\mathbf{A}} \arrow[r, "p"] & M^A \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd}$$

$$(\xi_{\mathbf{A}_0}) \qquad \begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & M^A \arrow[r, "\pi"] & M^{\mathbf{A}_0} \arrow[r, "p_0"] & M^A \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd}.$$

The extension $\xi \in E_{k[t]}(M^A, M^A)$ such that $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}_\xi$ (see Definition 2.12) is given by

$$\iota(\xi) = \xi_{\mathbf{A}} - \xi_{\mathbf{A}_0}. \tag{6.2}$$

The prescription for determining the difference of these two extensions is to take the pre-image of the diagonal copy of M^A in $M^A \oplus M^A$ in $M^{\mathbf{A}} \oplus M^{\mathbf{A}_0}$, and set $\pi x \oplus \pi x = 0$ for all $x \in M^A$.

Write $h_1, \ldots, h_l$ and $h'_1, \ldots, h'_l$ for the generators of the two copies of the R-module in (6.1). Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{p}^{\lambda_j}(h_j \oplus h'_j) &= \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{A})^{\lambda_j} h_j \oplus \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{A}_0)^{\lambda_j} h'_j \\ &= \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{A})^{\lambda_j} h_j \oplus 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{A})^{\lambda_i} h_j \equiv 0 \mod \pi M^{\mathbf{A}},$$

there exist polynomials $\epsilon_{ij} \in R[t]$ such that

$$\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{A})^{\lambda_i} h_j = \sum_{i=1}^{l} \epsilon_{ij} \pi h_j, \tag{6.3}$$

Comparing (6.3) with (5.3) gives us the algorithm for determining an extension corresponding to a matrix $\mathbf{A}$:

Theorem 6.4. *If $E(\xi) = (\epsilon_{ij})$, where the polynomials $\epsilon_{ij} \in R[t]$ satisfy (6.3), then $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}_\xi$.*

6.2. Nilpotent Case. Now suppose that $p = t$, and $\mathbf{A}_0 = J_\lambda$, meaning the nilpotent lower triangular Jordan matrix corresponding to the partition λ. Write $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}_0 + \pi X$ as usual.

Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r)$. For each $1 \leq \iota \leq r$, we must compute

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}^{\lambda_\iota} h_\iota &= (J_\lambda + \pi X)^{\lambda_\iota} h_\iota \\ &= J_\lambda^{\lambda_\iota} h_\iota + \pi \left(\sum_{a+b=\lambda_\iota - 1} J_\lambda^a X J_\lambda^b \right) h_\iota \\ &= \pi \mathcal{L}_{\lambda_\iota}(X) h_\iota, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_N : M_n(k) \to M_n(k)$ is the linear map defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_N(X) = \sum_{a+b=N-1} J_\lambda^a X J_\lambda^b. \tag{6.5}$$

We will evaluate $\mathcal{L}_N$ on a basis of $M_n(k)$ given by the usual coordinate matrices, which we organize according to blocks. We view a matrix $B \in M_n(k)$ as an $r \times r$ block matrix with (α, β)-th block $B(\alpha, \beta) \in M_{\lambda_\alpha \times \lambda_\beta}(k)$.

For each α, write $\mathrm{pr}_\alpha : k^n \to k^{\lambda_\alpha}$ for the projection to the components corresponding to λ_α. Note that

$$\mathrm{pr}_\alpha(h_\iota) = \begin{cases} e_1 & \text{if } \alpha = \iota \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

and that $\mathrm{pr}_\alpha(B h_\beta) = B(\alpha, \beta) e_1$.

Regarding (6.5) as a block matrix, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_N(X)(\alpha, \beta) = \sum_{a+b=N-1} J_{\lambda_\alpha}^a X(\alpha, \beta) J_{\lambda_\beta}^b \in M_{\lambda_\alpha, \lambda_\beta}(k),$$

where generally J_i is the nilpotent lower triangular single Jordan block of size i.

We are thus led to study the linear maps $L_N : M_{p,q}(k) \to M_{p,q}(k)$ defined by

$$L_N(Y) = \sum_{a+b=N-1} J_p^a Y J_q^b.$$

By linearity, it is enough to determine L_N on a basis. We pick the standard basis $Y_{ij} \in M_{p,q}(k)$, where Y_{ij} is the $p \times q$ matrix consisting of a 1 in the (i,j) entry and 0 elsewhere. It is easy to calculate that $J_p^a Y_{ij} J_q^b = Y_{i+a,j-b}$, if we set the convention that $Y_{ij} = 0$ if $i > p$ or $j \leq 0$. Therefore

$$L_N(Y_{ij}) = \sum_{a+b=N-1} Y_{i+a,j-b}.$$

In particular we have

$$L_N(Y_{ij})e_1 = \begin{cases} e_{N+(i-j)} & \text{if } 1 \leq N+(i-j) \leq p \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Let us return to the study of $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda_\iota}(X)h_\iota$. We consider the following basis of $M_n(k)$:

Pick $1 \leq \alpha_0, \beta_0 \leq r$, $1 \leq i \leq \lambda_{\alpha_0}$, and $1 \leq j \leq \lambda_{\beta_0}$. Write $X_0 = X_{\alpha_0,\beta_0,i,j} \in M_n(k)$ for the block matrix so that $X(\alpha,\beta) = 0$ unless $\alpha = \alpha_0$ and $\beta = \beta_0$, and moreover that $X(\alpha_0,\beta_0) = Y_{ij}$. We have

$$\mathrm{pr}_\alpha(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda_\iota}(X_0)h_\iota) = L_{\lambda_\iota}(X_0(\alpha,\iota))e_1.$$

This is equal to 0, unless $\alpha = \alpha_0$ and $\iota = \beta_0$, in which case, it is equal to $L_{\lambda_\iota}(Y_{ij})e_1$. The latter is equal to 0 unless $i \leq j$, in which case it is equal to $e_{\lambda_\iota+(i-j)} \in k^{\lambda_\alpha}$. Thus,

$$\mathrm{pr}_\alpha(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda_\iota}(X_0)h_\iota) = \begin{cases} e_{\lambda_\iota+(i-j)} & \text{if } \alpha = \alpha_0,\ \iota = \beta_0, \text{ and } i \leq j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Thus we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}_{\lambda_\iota}(X_{\alpha_0,\beta_0,i,j})h_\iota = \begin{cases} t^{\lambda_\iota+(i-j)-1}h_{\alpha_0} & \text{if } i \leq j \text{ and } \iota = \beta_0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

and so

$$\epsilon_{\iota,\kappa}(X_{\alpha_0,\beta_0,i,j}) = \begin{cases} t^{\lambda_\iota+(i-j)-1} & \text{if } i \leq j,\ \alpha_0 = \kappa \text{ and } \beta_0 = \iota \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Note that the expression only depends on i,j through the difference $i-j$.

We extend this formula by linearity to all of $M_n(k)$. Let us pause for some examples, with the following table:

Table 2. Extensions from Matrices: $p(t) = t$

λ	$\mathbf{A}_0$	X	$E(\mathbf{A}) = E(\mathbf{A}_0 + \pi X)$
$(2,1)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \\ g & h & i \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} (a+e)t + b & c \\ h & i \end{pmatrix}$
$(3,1)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d \\ e & f & g & h \\ i & j & k & l \\ m & n & o & p \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} (a+f+k)t^2 + (b+g)t + c & d \\ o & p \end{pmatrix}$
$(2,2)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d \\ e & f & g & h \\ i & j & k & l \\ m & n & o & p \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} (a+f)t + b & (c+h)t + d \\ (n+i)t + j & (k+p)t + l \end{pmatrix}$
$(2,1,1)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d \\ e & f & g & h \\ i & j & k & l \\ m & n & o & p \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} (a+f)t + b & c & d \\ j & k & l \\ n & o & p \end{pmatrix}$

6.3. General Formula. The reader will note that the coefficients of the polynomial entries of $E(\mathbf{A})$ in the table are given by traces of the blocks of X, and also traces "above the diagonal". In this section we will give a more direct formula for $E(\mathbf{A})$ in terms of these more general traces.

Definition 6.6. Let $A \in M_{m\times n}(k)$, and $0 \leq \ell < n$. If $A = (a_{ij})$, we define

$$\operatorname{tr}_\ell(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{\min(m,n-\ell)} a_{i,i+\ell}. \tag{6.7}$$

In words, $\operatorname{tr}_\ell(A)$ is the sum of the entries on the diagonal of A which is k to right of the main diagonal. In particular, $\operatorname{tr}_0(A) = \operatorname{tr}(A)$ is the usual trace when A is a square matrix.

Definition 6.8. Let m, n be positive integers. We define a map $\varphi = \varphi_{m,n} : M_{m\times n}(k) \to k[t]/t^{\min(m,n)}$ by

$$\varphi(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{\min(m,n)} \operatorname{tr}_{n-i}(A) t^{i-1}. \tag{6.9}$$

Theorem 6.10. *The extension matrix associated to* $\mathbf{A} = J_\lambda + \pi X$ *is the matrix* $E(\mathbf{A}) \in E_\lambda$ *with*

$$E(\mathbf{A})(\alpha, \beta) = \varphi(X(\alpha, \beta)). \tag{6.11}$$

Proof. Since both sides are k-linear, it is enough to show that both sides agree at the elements $X_{\alpha_0,\beta_0,i,j}$ from the previous section. This is straightforward. $\square$

6.4. Matrices from extension classes. Let $A \in M_n(k)$. Suppose that $p \in \mathrm{Irr}(k[t])$ of degree d, and $p(A)^n = 0$; in other words, that A is p-primary. Then there exists a partition λ such that A is similar to $C_\lambda(p)$. Let us see how, given $\xi \in E_{k[t]}(M^A, M^A)$, to write down a matrix in $M_n(R)$ which lies in the similarity class corresponding to ξ under Theorem 2.13.

In the extension $\xi \in E_{k[t]}(M^A, M^A)$ given by

$$(\xi) \qquad (\xi) \qquad 0 \longrightarrow M^A \xrightarrow{i} E_\xi \longrightarrow M^A \longrightarrow 0$$

with $E(\xi) = (\epsilon_{ij})$, E is defined (as a $k[t]$-module) by generators

$$\iota(e_1), \ldots, \iota(e_l), \tilde{f}_1, \ldots, \tilde{f}_l$$

subject to the relations (for $1 \le j \le l$)

$$p^{\lambda_j} e_j = 0, \tag{6.12}$$

$$p^{\lambda_j} \tilde{f}_j + \sum_{i=1}^{l} \epsilon_{ij} \iota(e_i) = 0 \tag{6.13}$$

If we wish to think of these as generators and relations for $R[t]$-modules, then we must add the relations $\pi\iota(e_j) = 0$ and $\pi \tilde{f}_j = 0$ for $1 \le j \le l$.

For the extension $\tilde{\xi}_0$ of $R[t]$-modules given by

$$(\xi_0) \qquad 0 \longrightarrow M^A \xrightarrow{\iota} M^{\mathbf{A}_0} \longrightarrow M^A \longrightarrow 0\ ,$$

we have generators $g_1, \ldots, g_l$ with relations

$$\mathbf{p}^{\lambda_j} g_j = 0. \tag{6.14}$$

Here $\mathbf{p} \in R[t]$ is the monic lift of p as in the proof of Lemma 2.8. Recall that, in general, given two extensions

$$0 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow E_1 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow E_2 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0\ ,$$

the Baer sum is the extension obtained by taking the pre-image of the diagonal copy of M inside $M \oplus M$ under the map $E_1 \oplus E_2 \to M \oplus M$ and identifying the images of the two copies of N under $N \oplus N \to E_1 \oplus E_2$.

Applying this observation to construct $\iota(\xi) + \tilde{\xi}_0$ shows that in the extension

$$(\iota(\xi) + \tilde{\xi}_0) \qquad 0 \longrightarrow M^A \xrightarrow{\iota} \tilde{E}_\xi \longrightarrow M^A \longrightarrow 0\ ,$$

$\tilde{E}_\xi$ is generated by $\iota(e_1), \dots, \iota(e_l)$ and $h_1, \dots, h_l$, where $h_j = \tilde{f}_j + g_j$. Since the images of M^A in E_ξ and $M^{\mathbf{A}_0}$ are identified in $\tilde{E}_\xi$, it follows that $i(e_j) = \pi g_j = \pi(\tilde{f}_j + g_j) = \pi h_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq l$. This allows us to dispense with the generators $\iota(e_j)$. The relations on the generators $h_1, \dots, h_l$ are $\mathbf{p}^{\lambda_j} h_j = 0$.

This means that, as an R-module, $\tilde{E}_\xi$ has basis

$$\begin{gathered} h_1, th_1, \dots, t^{d\lambda_1 - 1} h_1, \\ h_2, th_2, \dots, t^{d\lambda_2 - 1} h_2, \\ \vdots \\ h_l, th_l, \dots, t^{d\lambda_l - 1} h_l. \end{gathered}$$

Then $\mathbf{A}_\xi$ is the matrix of multiplication by t with respect to this basis.

We have

$$t \cdot t^{d\lambda_j - 1} h_j = \mathbf{p}^{\lambda_j} h_j - \sum_{m=0}^{d-1} c_m t^m h_j, \tag{6.15}$$

where $\mathbf{p}^{\lambda_j} = t^{d\lambda_j} + \sum_{m=0}^{d-1} c_m t^m$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{p}^{\lambda_j} h_j &= \mathbf{p}^{\lambda_j} f_j \\ &= \pi \sum_i \epsilon_{ij} h_i, \end{aligned} \tag{6.16}$$

where

$$\epsilon_{ij} = \epsilon_{ij}^0 + \epsilon_{ij}^1 t + \cdots + \epsilon_{ij}^{d\lambda_j - 1} t^{d\lambda_j - 1}$$

is reduced modulo p^{λ_j}. We have proved

THEOREM 6.17. *Suppose that $E(\xi) = (\epsilon_{ij})$. Then*

$$\mathbf{A}_\xi = \mathbf{A}_0 + \pi\phi(\epsilon),$$

where $\phi(\epsilon)$ is the $l \times l$ block matrix with (i, j)th block of size $(d\lambda_i) \times (d\lambda_j)$ given by

$$\phi(\epsilon)_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & \epsilon_{ij}^0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \epsilon_{ij}^1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \epsilon_{ij}^{d\lambda_i - 1} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{6.18}$$

7. Conjugacy and Transposition

It is well-known that in the ring of matrices over a field, a matrix A is always similar to its transpose A^T. As we will see, this is not true in $M_n(R)$ for $n \geq 3$. In this section, as a general application of the machinery in this paper, we will study the question of when $\mathbf{A}$ is similar to its transpose. First we will show that if A is nilpotent, then the answer can be read off easily from the extension matrix $E(\mathbf{A})$. Next we will use a stability property of similarity classes in $M_n(R)$ to reduce the general case to the nilpotent case. We will use these results to describe exactly which similarity classes in $M_n(R)$ are self-transpose for $n \leq 4$.

Every matrix $A \in M_n(R)$ can be written as a sum $\oplus_p \mathbf{A}_p$ as in Remark 4.4. By the uniqueness (up to similarity) of primary decomposition, $(\mathbf{A}^T)_p$ is similar to $(\mathbf{A}_p)^T$. Thus, $\mathbf{A}$ is similar to $\mathbf{A}^T$ if and only if $\mathbf{A}_p$ is similar to $\mathbf{A}_p^T$ for every p. Thus the problem of characterizing self-transpose classes in $M_n(R)$ is reduced to the primary case, where our main result is:

Theorem 7.1. *Let A be a p-primary matrix in rational normal form. For each $\xi \in E_{k[t]}(M^A, M^A)$, $\mathbf{A}_\xi$ is similar to its transpose if and only if $E(\xi)$ lies in the same G_A-orbit as $E(\xi)^T$.*

Note that when A is p-primary and in rational normal form, then $M^A = M_\lambda(p)$ for some partition λ. In this context, $E(\xi)$ is the matrix corresponding to ξ constructed in Section 5.1.

7.1. Nilpotent Case.

Definition 7.2. Let $X \in M_{m\times n}(k)$. Write $X^T \in M_{m\times n}$ for the transpose of X, and ${}^T X \in M_{m\times n}$ for the "transpose along the other diagonal" defined by

$$({}^T X)_{i,j} = X_{m+1-j,n+1-i}.$$

Definition 7.3. Let $w_n \in GL_n(k)$ be the permutation matrix comprised of 1s down the antidiagonal.

We have ${}^T X = w_n X^T w_m^{-1}$.

Lemma 7.4. *If $\max(0, m-n) \leq \ell < \min(m,n)$, then*

$$\mathrm{tr}_\ell({}^T X) = \mathrm{tr}_{\ell+(n-m)}(X).$$

Proof. This is an easy calculation. Note that $\min(n, m-\ell) = m-\ell$ here.

□

LEMMA 7.5. *for $X \in M_{m\times n}(k)$, we have*

$$\varphi_{n,m}({}^TX) = \varphi_{m,n}(X).$$

PROOF. This is immediate from Lemma 7.4. $\square$

THEOREM 7.6. *Let $\mathbf{A} \in M_n(R)$ be nilpotent. Then $\mathbf{A}$ is similar to its transpose if and only if $E(\mathbf{A})$ is equivalent to $E(\mathbf{A})^T$.*

PROOF. Note that if w is the block diagonal matrix $\operatorname{diag}(w_{\lambda_1}, \dots, w_{\lambda_r})$, then $J_\lambda^T = wJ_\lambda w^{-1}$, and so

$$wA^Tw^{-1} = J_\lambda + \pi wX^Tw^{-1}.$$

Applying Theorem 6.10, we have $E(\mathbf{A}^T) \sim E(w\mathbf{A}^Tw^{-1})$, but the latter is equal to $E(\mathbf{A})^T$ by Lemma 7.5. $\square$

7.2. Stability of similarity. In order to reduce the general case to the nilpotent case, we will need the fact that when R is contained in a larger ring S, then similarity over R is equivalent to similarity over S. This result is interesting in its own right, and is proved in the greater generality of Artinian rings.

THEOREM 7.7 (Stability of similarity). *Let R be an Artinian ring, and S an extension ring of R which is free and finitely generated as an R-module. If two elements $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A}' \in M_n(R)$ are conjugate when regarded as matrices in $M_n(S)$, then they are already conjugate in $M_n(R)$.*

PROOF. Note that since R is Artinian, $M^{\mathbf{A}}$ has finite length as an R-module, and hence as an $R[t]$-module.

By hypothesis we have

$$M^{\mathbf{A}} \otimes_{R[t]} S[t] \cong M^{\mathbf{A}'} \otimes_{R[t]} S[t]$$

as $S[t]$-modules, and therefore as $R[t]$-modules.

Write d for the cardinality of a basis of S over R. Then the above equation gives

$$(M^{\mathbf{A}})^d \cong (M^{\mathbf{A}'})^d$$

as $R[t]$-modules. By the Krull-Schmidt Theorem, this implies that $M^{\mathbf{A}} \cong M^{\mathbf{A}'}$, as desired. $\square$

7.3. The general case. We start with the easy observation that if $\mathbf{A}$ is conjugate to its transpose and $\mathbf{A}'$ is conjugate to $\mathbf{A}$, then $\mathbf{A}'$ is conjugate to its transpose.

Let $\mathbf{A} \in M_n(R)$. By Remark 4.4, $\mathbf{A}$ is conjugate to a sum $\oplus_p \mathbf{A}_p$ with $p \in \operatorname{Irr}(k[t])$ and $r(\mathbf{A}_p)$ a p-primary matrix. Since $r(\mathbf{A}_p^T)$ is again p-primary, it follows that $\mathbf{A}$ is conjugate to its transpose if and only if $\mathbf{A}_p$ is conjugate to its transpose for each $p \in \operatorname{Irr}(k[t])$. The problem therefore reduces to the case where $A = r(\mathbf{A})$ is primary. Then we may as well assume A is $C_\lambda(p)$ for some $p \in \mathrm{Irr}(k[t])$ and some partition λ.

Consider a splitting field k' of p over k. Let R' be the corresponding unramified extension of R (for example, if $R = k[t]/t^2$, then $R' = k'[t]/t^2$ and if $R = W_2(k)$, take $R' = W_2(k')$).

From Theorem 7.7 (stability of similarity) we have $\mathbf{A}$ is conjugate to $\mathbf{A}^T$ in $M_n(R)$ if and only if $\mathbf{A}$ is conjugate to $\mathbf{A}^T$ in $M_n(R')$. Regarded as a matrix in $M_n(k')$, A is similar to its Jordan normal form $\oplus_\alpha J_\lambda(\alpha)$. Once again, primary decomposition reduces us to the case where $A = J_\lambda(\alpha)$, and then by subtracting α, we have reduced to the nilpotent case.

Here are some consequences.

Corollary 7.8. *Let $A \in M_n(k)$. If A is either regular or semisimple, then any $\mathbf{A} \in M_n(R)$ with $r(\mathbf{A}) = A$ is similar to its transpose.*

Proof. In the regular case, each A_p is similar to $C_\lambda(p)$, where λ is a partition with only one part, so the extension matrix is its own transpose. In the semsimple case, each A_p is similar to $C_{1^r}(p)$ for some r. The space $E_\lambda(p)$ is ismorphic to $M_r(k)$ (see Theorem 8.3 below), and is therefore in the same orbit as its transpose. □

Let us now turn to small-dimensional examples. For $n = 2$, we have four types (see the discussion at the beginning of Section 9). We claim that for each type, $\mathbf{A}$ is conjugate to its transpose. Types $(1)_2$ are $(2)_1$ regular. Type $(1)_1^2$ is indeed diagonalizable. Finally type $(1^2)_1$ is covered by the previous corollary.

We obtain:

Theorem 7.9. *Every matrix $\mathbf{A} \in M_2(R)$ is conjugate to its transpose.*

And immediately:

Corollary 7.10. *If $A = C_\lambda(p)$ with $\lambda = (2, 2)$, then any lift of A is conjugate to its transpose.*

For the partition $\lambda = (2, 1)$, non-self-transpose classes exist (see the tables from Section 8.4). For $n \geq 3$, there always exist functions $c \in C(n)$ (see Section 4) where $c(p) = (2, 1)$ for some $p \in \mathrm{Irr}(k[t])$. It follows that:

Theorem 7.11. *For all $n \geq 3$, there exist matrices $\mathbf{A} \in M_n(R)$ which are not similar to their transposes.*

By using the tables in Section 8, we will be able to determine exactly how many classes over each similarity class in $M_n(k)$ are self-transpose.

8. Description of orbits

By Theorems 2.13 and 3.2, in order to understand the number of similarity classes in $M_n(R)$ which lie above the class of $A \in M_n(k)$ along with their centralizers, it suffices to understand the G_A-orbits in $E_{k[t]}(M^A, M^A)$ along with their centralizers. Writing representatives for these orbits will allow us to write down matrices representing these similarity classes by Theorem 6.17. These computations are carried out for several special cases of A in this section. In the next section, these computations will be used to describe the similarity classes in $M_3(R)$ and $M_4(R)$.

8.1. The cyclic case. Recall that a matrix $A \in M_n(k)$ is said to be regular if M^A is a cyclic $k[t]$-module.

THEOREM 8.1. *If A is regular, then $E_{k[t]}(M^A, M^A) = M^A$, and the G_A-action on $E_{k[t]}(M^A, M^A)$ is trivial. Consequently, the similarity classes in $M_n(R)$ that lie above A are in bijective correspondence with the elements of M^A. Moreover, for each $\mathbf{A} \in M_n(R)$ such that $r(\mathbf{A}) = A$, $\bar{G}_{\mathbf{A}} = G_A$.*

8.2. The elementary case.

THEOREM 8.2. *For any $p \in \mathrm{Irr}(k[t])$ and $\lambda = (1^n)$, $G_\lambda(p)$ is isomorphic to $GL_n(K)$ and $E_\lambda(p)$ is isomorphic to $M_n(K)$ as a $G_\lambda(p)$-set, where $K = k[t]/p$ and $g \in GL_n(K)$ acts on $E \in M_n(K)$ by conjugation: $g \mapsto gEg^{-1}$.*

8.3. The rectangular case.

THEOREM 8.3. *For any $p \in \mathrm{Irr}(k[t])$ and $\lambda = (m^n)$, $G_\lambda(p)$ is isomorphic to $GL_n(k[t]/p^m)$ and $E_\lambda(p)$ is isomorphic to $M_n(k[t]/p^m)$ as a $G_\lambda(p)$-set where $g \in GL_n(k[t]/p^m)$ acts on $E \in M_n(k[t]/p^m)$ by conjugation: $E \mapsto gEg^{-1}$.*

COROLLARY 8.4. *Let k be a finite field. The following problems are equivalent:*

(8.4.1) *Enumerating the set of similarity classes in $M_n(R)$ that lie above a given similarity class in $M_n(k)$ along with the cardinalities of their centralizers for every principal ideal local ring R of length two with residue field k and every positive integer n.*

(8.4.2) *Enumerating the set of similarity classes in $M_n(K[t]/t^m)$ along with the cardinalties of their centralizers for all positive integers m and n and every finite extension K of k.*

PROOF. The enumeration of similarity classes in $M_n(k[t]/t^2)$ along with the cardinalities of their centralizers is clearly a special case of (8.4.2). By Theorem 3.5, this is equivalent to the enumeration of similarity classes in $M_n(R)$ along with their centralizers for any principal ideal local ring of length two and residue field R. Thus a solution to (8.4.2) implies a solution to (8.4.1).

Let K be any finite extension of k of degree d. Then there is an irreducible polynomial $p \in \mathrm{Irr}(k[t])$ such that $K = k[t]/p$. By Theorem 8.3, the enumeration of similarity classes of matrices that lie above the class of a matrix $A \in M_{mnd}(k)$ for which

$$M^A = (k[t]/p^m)^{\oplus n}$$

is equivalent to the determination of similarity classes of matrices in $M_n(k[t]/p^m)$. Since k is a perfect field, the rings $k[t]/p^m$ and $K[t]/t^m$ are isomorphic. It follows that a solution to (8.4.1) implies a solution to (8.4.2). $\square$

8.4. $\lambda = (m+1, 1)$. Consider the case where, for some $m \geq 1$, $\lambda = (m+1, 1)$. Then

$$M_{(m+1,1)}(p) = k[t]/p^{m+1} \oplus k[t]/p.$$

By Theorem 5.6,

$$E_{(m+1,1)}(p) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{pmatrix} \mid x \in k[t]/p^{m+1},\ y, z, w \in k[t]/p \right\}.$$

Recall from the discussion at the beginning of Section 5.4 that

$$G_{(m+1,1)}(p) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & p^m b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mid a \in k[t]/p^{m+1},\ b, c, d \in k[t]/p \right\}.$$

In what follows, a statement like "$x \in k[t]/p^m$" actually means that x runs over a set of representatives in $k[t]$ of $k[t]/p^m$.

THEOREM 8.5. *A complete set of representatives for the $G_{(m+1,1)}(p)$-orbits in $E_{(m+1,1)}(p)$ is given by*

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & w \end{pmatrix}, \text{ with } x \in k[t]/p^{m+1} \text{ such that } p|x, \text{ and } w \in k[t]/p. \tag{8.5.1}$$

Each of these elements has isotropy group $G_{(m+1,1)}(p)$.

(8.5.2) $\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & w \end{pmatrix}$, *with* $x \in (k[t]/p^{m+1})^*$ *and* $w \in k[t]/p$. *Each of these elements has isotropy group*

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \mid a \in (k[t]/p^{m+1})^*,\ d \in (k[t]/p)^* \right\}.$$

(8.5.3) $\begin{pmatrix} x & 1 \\ z & w \end{pmatrix}$, *with* $x \in k[t]/p^m$ *such that* $p|x,\ z, w \in k[t]/p$. *Each of these elements has isotropy group*

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & p^m b \\ bz & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix} \mid a \in (k[t]/p^{m+1})^*,\ b \in k[t]/p \right\}.$$

(8.5.4) $\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 1 & w \end{pmatrix}$, *with* $x \in k[t]/p^m$ *such that* $p|x,\ w \in k[t]/p$. *Each of these elements has isotropy group*

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ c & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix} \mid a \in (k[t]/p^{m+1})^*,\ c \in k[t]/p \right\}.$$

Proof. The Birkhoff moves give rise to the transformations

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{(E1)} \begin{pmatrix} x + p^m \alpha z & y - \alpha x \\ z & w - \alpha z \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{(E2)} \begin{pmatrix} x - p^m \alpha y & y \\ z + \alpha x & w + \alpha y \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{(E3)} \begin{pmatrix} x & \alpha y \\ \alpha^{-1} z & w \end{pmatrix}$$

If $(x, p) = 1$, then $(E1)$ and $(E2)$ can be used to reduce $\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{pmatrix}$ to the form (8.5.2).

Now suppose that either $(y, p) = 1$ or $(z, p) = 1$. Then $(E1)$ and $(E2)$ can be used to modify x to a representative modulo p^m. If $(y, p) = 1$, then $(E3)$ can be used to reduce $\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{pmatrix}$ to the form (8.5.3). On the other hand, if $p|y$ and $(z,p)=1$, $(E3)$ can be used to reduce $\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{pmatrix}$ to the form (8.5.4).

The only remaining case is when $\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{pmatrix}$ is of the form (8.5.1). It follows that $\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{pmatrix}$ can be reduced to one of the four forms in the statement of the theorem.

The isotropy calculations are straightforward; $\begin{pmatrix} a & p^m b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ stabilizes $\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{pmatrix}$ if and only if

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & p^m b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ p^m c & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

Substituting each of the canonical froms (8.5.1)-(8.5.4) for $\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{pmatrix}$ yields the description of isotropy subgroups in the statement. The isotropy of the type (8.5.1), being all of G_A, is not conjugate to that of any of the other types, showing that elements of type (8.5.1) are not in the same orbit as elements of any other type. Since the Birkhoff moves $(E1)$-$(E3)$ do not change the residue of x modulo p, the types (8.5.2) are not conjugate to any of the other types.

If $p|x$, the Birkhoff moves $(E1)$-$(E3)$ can only scale y and z by α which is coprime to p. Therefore elements of type (8.5.3) and (8.5.4) can not be in the same orbit.

It remains to show that within types (8.5.1) and (8.5.2), matrices with different representatives are in distinct orbits. Now $\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & w \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} x' & 0 \\ 0 & w' \end{pmatrix}$ are in the same G_A-orbit if and only if

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & t^m b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & w \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x' & 0 \\ 0 & w' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ t^m c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

TABLE 3. $\lambda = (m+1, 1)$

Reference	Centralizer	Total Classes	Self-transpose
(8.5.1)	$q^{m+4}(1-q^{-1})^2$	q^{m+1}	all
(8.5.2)	$q^{m+2}(1-q^{-1})^2$	$q^{m+2}-q^{m+1}$	all
(8.5.3) (8.5.4)	$q^{m+2}(1-q^{-1})$	$q^{m+1}+q^{m}$	$q^{m+1}-q^{m}$
	Totals:	$q^{m+2}+q^{m+1}+q$	$q^{m+2}+q^{m+1}-q^{m}$

for some $a, b, c, d \in k[t]$ with $(a, p) = (d, p) = 1$. In other words,

$$\begin{pmatrix} ax & 0 \\ 0 & dw \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ax' & 0 \\ 0 & dw' \end{pmatrix}$$

whence we may conclude that $x \equiv x' \mod p^{m+1}$ and $w \equiv w' \mod p$, showing that matrices of types (8.5.1) and (8.5.2) with different representatives are in different orbits. $\square$

When $k[t]/p$ is a finite field of order q, one can easily read off the cardinalities of orbits with a given centralizer cardinality from Theorem 8.5. Moreover, it is not hard to see which of these classes are self-transpose. The results are collected in Table 3.

8.5. $\lambda = (m+1, 1, 1)$**.** Now consider the case

$$M_{(m+1,1,1)}(p) = k[t]/p^{m+1} \oplus k[t]/p \oplus k[t]/p.$$

By Theorem 5.6,

$$E_{(m+1,1,1)}(p) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & \vec{y}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \vec{z} & W \end{pmatrix} \middle| \, x \in k[t]/p^{m+1}, \vec{y}, \vec{z} \in (k[t]/p)^2, W \in M_2(k[t]/p) \right\}.$$

and from the discussion at the beginning of Section 5.4,

$$G_{(m+1,1,1)}(p) =$$
$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & p\vec{b}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \vec{c} & D \end{pmatrix} \middle| \, a \in (k[t]/p^{m+1})^*, \vec{b}, \vec{c} \in (k[t]/p)^2, D \in GL_2(k[t]/p) \right\}.$$

THEOREM 8.6. *A complete set of representatives for the* $G_{(m+1,1,1)}(p)$*-orbits in* $E_{(m+1,1,1)}(p)$ *is given by*

(8.6.1) $\begin{pmatrix} x & \vec{0}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \vec{0} & W \end{pmatrix}$, *where* $x \in k[t]/p^{m+1}$ *with* $p|x$, *and* $W \in M_2(k[t]/p)$ *is in rational normal form; the isotropy group is*

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & p\vec{b}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \vec{c} & D \end{pmatrix} \middle| \, a \in (k[t]/p^{m+1})^*, \vec{b}, \vec{c} \in (k[t]/p)^2, D \in Z_{GL_2(k[t]/p)}W \right\}.$$

(8.6.2) $\begin{pmatrix} x & \vec{0}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \vec{0} & W \end{pmatrix}$, *where* $x \in k[t]/p^{m+1}$ *with* $(x,p) = 1$, *and* $W \in M_2(k[t]/p)$ *is in rational normal form; the isotropy group is*

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & p\vec{0}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \vec{0} & D \end{pmatrix} \middle| \, a \in (k[t]/p^{m+1})^*, D \in Z_{GL_2(k[t]/p)}W \right\}.$$

(8.6.3) $\begin{pmatrix} x & 1 & 0 \\ r & u & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & v \end{pmatrix}$, *where* $x \in k[t]/p^m$ *such that* $p|x$, $r, u, v \in k[t]/p$, *with* $(r,p) = 1$ *with isotropy group*

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b & 0 \\ bz & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \middle| \, a \in (k[t]/p^{m+1})^*, b \in k[t]/p, d \in (k[t]/p)^* \right\}.$$

(8.6.4) $\begin{pmatrix} x & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & u \\ 1 & 0 & v \end{pmatrix}$, *where* $x \in k[t]/p^m$ *such that* $p|x$, $u, v \in k[t]/p$ *with isotropy group*

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b & ud \\ ud & a & 0 \\ b+vd & d & a \end{pmatrix} \middle| \, a \in (k[t]/p^{m+1})^*, b, d \in k[t]/p \right\}.$$

(8.6.5) $\begin{pmatrix} x & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & w \end{pmatrix}$, *where* $x \in k[t]/p^m$ *such that* $p|x$, $w \in k[t]/p$ *with isotropy group*

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b_1 & b_2 \\ 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \middle| \, a \in (k[t]/p^{m+1})^*, b_1, b_2 \in k[t]/p \right\}.$$

(8.6.6) $\begin{pmatrix} x & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & u & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & w \end{pmatrix}$, *where* $x \in k[t]/p^m$ *such that* $p|x$, $u, w \in k[t]/p$ *with isotropy group*

$$\left\{ \left. \begin{pmatrix} a & b_1 & b_2 \\ 0 & a & 0 \\ e(w-u) & d & e \end{pmatrix} \right| a \in (k[t]/p^{m+1})^*, b_1, b_2, d \in k[t]/p, e \in (k[t]/p)^* \right\}.$$

(8.6.7) $\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & w \end{pmatrix}$, *where* $x \in k[t]/p^m$ *such that* $p|x$, $w \in k[t]/p$ *with isotropy group*

$$\left\{ \left. \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ c_1 & a & 0 \\ c_2 & 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \right| a \in (k[t]/p^{m+1})^*, c_1, c_2 \in k[t]/p \right\}.$$

(8.6.8) $\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & u & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & w \end{pmatrix}$, *where* $x \in k[t]/p^m$ *such that* $p|x$, $u, w \in k[t]/p$ *with isotropy group*

$$\left\{ \left. \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & d(u-w) \\ c_1 & a & d \\ c_2 & 0 & e \end{pmatrix} \right| a \in (k[t]/p^{m+1})^*, c_1, c_2, d \in k[t]/p, e \in (k[t]/p)^* \right\}.$$

Proof. In analogy with the proof of Theorem 8.5, a combination of Birkhoff moves of type $(E1)$ and $(E2)$ respectively allows us to make transformations

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} x & \vec{y}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \vec{z} & W \end{pmatrix} &\to \begin{pmatrix} x + p^m \vec{\alpha}^{\mathrm{T}} z & \vec{y}^{\mathrm{T}} - x\vec{\alpha}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \vec{z} & W - \vec{z}\vec{\alpha}^{\mathrm{T}} \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} x & \vec{y}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \vec{z} & W \end{pmatrix} &\to \begin{pmatrix} x - p^m \vec{y}^{\mathrm{T}} \vec{\alpha} & \vec{y} \\ \vec{z} + x\vec{\alpha} & W + \vec{\alpha}\vec{y}^{\mathrm{T}} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \tag{8.7}$$

for any $\vec{\alpha} \in (k[t]/p)^2$. It follows that, if $(x, p) = 1$, then Birkhoff moves of type $(E1)$ and $(E2)$ can be used to reduce to $\vec{y} = \vec{z} = 0$. If $\vec{y} = \vec{z} = 0$ (even if $(x, p) \neq 1$) transformations of the type $\begin{pmatrix} a & p\vec{0}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \vec{0} & D \end{pmatrix}$ can be used to reduce D to its rational normal form. Thus, when

$(x,p)=1$ or $\vec{y}=\vec{z}=0$, $\begin{pmatrix} x & \vec{y}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \vec{z} & W \end{pmatrix}$ lies in an orbit of type (8.6.1) or (8.6.2). The Birkhoff moves $(E1)$-$(E4)$ can not change the residue class of x modulo p^m, therefore the classes of type (8.6.2) are different from all the remaining types, where the residue of x modulo p is 0.

The matrices $\begin{pmatrix} x & \vec{0}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \vec{0} & W \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} x' & \vec{0}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \vec{0} & W' \end{pmatrix}$ are in the same orbit if and only if there exists $\begin{pmatrix} a & p\vec{b}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \vec{c} & D \end{pmatrix} \in G_{(m+1,1,1)}(p)$ such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & p\vec{b}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \vec{c} & D \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} x & \vec{0}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \vec{0} & W \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x' & \vec{0}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \vec{0} & W' \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} a & \vec{b}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ p^m\vec{c} & D \end{pmatrix},$$

This gives us $x \equiv x' \mod p^{m+1}$, and $DW \equiv W'D \mod p$. This shows that the matrices in (8.6.1) and (8.6.2) all represent distinct orbits.

Two matrices $\begin{pmatrix} x & \vec{y}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \vec{z} & W \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} x' & \vec{y'}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \vec{z'} & W' \end{pmatrix}$, where $p|x$ and $p|x'$, are in the same $G_{(m+1,1,1)}(p)$-orbit if and only if there exists $\begin{pmatrix} a & p\vec{b}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \vec{c} & D \end{pmatrix} \in G_{(m+1,1,1)}(p)$ such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & p\vec{b}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \vec{c} & D \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} x & \vec{y}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \vec{z} & W \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x' & \vec{y'}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \vec{z'} & W' \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} a & \vec{b}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ p^m\vec{c} & D \end{pmatrix},$$

which simplifies to

$$\begin{pmatrix} ax + p^m\vec{b}^{\mathrm{T}}\vec{z} & a\vec{y}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ D\vec{z} & \vec{c}\vec{y}^{\mathrm{T}} + DW \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ax' + p^m\vec{y'}^{\mathrm{T}}\vec{c} & \vec{y'}^{\mathrm{T}}D \\ a\vec{z'} & \vec{z'}\vec{b}^{\mathrm{T}} + W'D \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here multiples of p are ignored in all but the top left entry. Thus the conditions for these matrices to lie in the same orbits are:

$$ax + p^m\vec{b}^{\mathrm{T}}\vec{z} \equiv ax' + p^m\vec{y'}^{\mathrm{T}}\vec{c} \mod p^{m+1} \tag{8.8}$$

$$\vec{y'} \equiv (a^{-1}D^{\mathrm{T}})^{-1}\vec{y} \mod p \tag{8.9}$$

$$\vec{z'} \equiv a^{-1}D\vec{z} \mod p \tag{8.10}$$

$$W' \equiv DWD^{-1} + (\vec{c}\,\vec{y}^{\mathrm{T}} - \vec{z'}\vec{b}^{\mathrm{T}})D^{-1} \mod p. \tag{8.11}$$

The equation (8.8) implies that $x \equiv x'$ modulo p^m. If either $\vec{y} \neq 0$ or $\vec{z} \neq 0$, and $x \equiv x' \mod p^m$, the transformations (8.7) can be used to make $x = x'$. Thus (8.8) can be replaced by the conditions

$$x = x' \tag{8.8a}$$

$$\vec{b}^{\mathrm{T}}\vec{z} \equiv \vec{y'}^{\mathrm{T}}\vec{c} \mod p \tag{8.8b}$$

The equation (8.9) implies that if $\vec{y}$ is 0 modulo p for a matrix, then it is 0 modulo p for all matrices in its $G_{(2,1,1)}$-orbit. Similarly, the equation (8.10) implies that if $\vec{z}$ is 0 modulo p for a matrix then it is zero modulo p for all matrices in its $G_{(2,1,1)}(p)$-orbit. Thus classes of type (8.6.1), (8.6.6) and (8.6.7) are all different, and differ from classes of type (8.6.3) or (8.6.4).

First consider the case where $\vec{y} \neq 0$. An appropriate choice of $a^{-1}D$ can be used to reduce to the case where $\vec{y} = (1,0)$. Now if $\vec{y} = \vec{y'} = (1,0)$, then $a^{-1}D^{\mathrm{T}}$ has to be a matrix of the form $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & d_1 \\ 0 & d_2 \end{pmatrix}$. In other words, $a^{-1}D = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ d_1 & d_2 \end{pmatrix}$. The orbits of $(k[t]/p)^2$ under the action of such matrices are represented by $(z_1, 0)$ with $z_1 \in k[t]/p$, $(0,1)$ and $(0,0)$, giving rise to matrices of type (8.6.3), (8.6.6) and (8.6.4).

Now consider each of these cases separately.

If $\vec{z} = \vec{z'} = (z_1, 0) \neq \vec{0}$, then (8.8b) gives $c_1 = b_1 z_1$. Substituting this in (8.11) gives

$$W' = DWD^{-1} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -z_1 b_2 \\ c_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} D^{-1}.$$

Also (8.10) gives that D is of the form $\begin{pmatrix} d_1 & 0 \\ 0 & d_2 \end{pmatrix}$. Thus, the off-diagonal entries of W can be made 0, and different choices of the diagonal entries modulo p give distinct orbits of type (8.6.3).

If $\vec{z} = \vec{z'} = (0,1)$, then (8.8b) gives $c_1 = b_2$. Substituting this in (8.11) gives

$$W' = DWD^{-1} + \begin{pmatrix} b_2 & 0 \\ c_2 - b_1 & -b_2 \end{pmatrix} D^{-1}.$$

With D being the identity matrix, W can be reduced to $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & u \\ 0 & v \end{pmatrix}$. By (8.10), $a^{-1}D = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ d_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Now if $W = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u \\ 0 & v \end{pmatrix}$ and $W' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u' \\ 0 & v' \end{pmatrix}$, then (8.11), multiplied on the right by $a^{-1}D$, gives

$$\begin{pmatrix} u'd_1 & u' \\ v'd_1 & v' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a^{-1}b_2 & u \\ a^{-1}(c_2 - b_1) & ud_1 + v - a^{-1}b_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This forces $u = u'$ $v = v'$. It follows that the representatives of type (8.6.4) represent all the distinct orbits for this case.

If $\vec{z} = \vec{z'} = 0$, then (8.8b) gives $c_1 = 0$. This allows us to ignore the bottom-left entry and assume that W is upper triangular, say $W = \begin{pmatrix} w_{11} & w_{12} \\ 0 & w_{22} \end{pmatrix}$. For the description of $a^{-1}D$, it follows that D is lower triangular. Thus D can be written as the product of a diagonal matrix and a unipotent lower triangular matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ d & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. We have

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ d & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u & v \\ 0 & w \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -d & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u - dv & v \\ du - d^2v - dw & dv + w \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, if $v \neq 0$, then such a transformation can be used to make $u = 0$. Taking D diagonal can then be used to make $v = 1$, and the bottom right left can be reset to 0 as before. If, on the other hand, $v = 0$, then no further reduction is possible. This gives rise to the cases (8.6.5) and (8.6.6).

Now consider the final case where $\vec{y} = 0$, and $\vec{z} \neq 0$. By a suitable choice of a and D, (8.10) can be used to get $\vec{z} = (1, 0)$. Now the analysis is analogous to the case where $\vec{y} = (1, 0)$ and $\vec{z} = 0$: (8.8b) gives $b_1 = 0$, which, using (8.11) with D as the identity allows us to assume that W is lower triangular, say $W = \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ v & w \end{pmatrix}$. If $\vec{z} = \vec{z'} = 0$, then (8.10) implies that D is upper triangular. Unipotent upper triangular D can be used to make $u \equiv 0 \mod p$ provided that $(v, p) = 1$. Diagonal D can be used to make $v \equiv 1 \mod p$. On the other hand, if $p|v$, no further reductions are possible. This gives the cases (8.6.7) and (8.6.8).

In order to obtain the descriptions of the remaining centralizers, it suffices to set $\vec{y} = \vec{y}$, $\vec{z} = \vec{z'}$, $W = W'$, and substitute each with the normal forms of (8.6.3)-(8.6.8). Since these calculations are similar to, and simpler than the ones above, they are omitted. □

The number of classes with various cardinalities of centralizers when $k[t]/p$ is finite of order q are displayed in Table 4, along with the number of self-transpose classes.

Table 4. $\lambda = (m+1,1,1)$

Reference	Centralizer	Total Classes	Self-transpose
(8.6.1)	$q^{m+9}(1-q^{-1})^2(1-q^{-2})$	q^{m+1}	all
(8.6.1)	$q^{m+7}(1-q^{-1})^3$	$q^{m+1}(q-1)/2$	all
(8.6.1)	$q^{m+7}(1-q^{-1})^2$	q^{m+1}	all
(8.6.1)	$q^{m+7}(1-q^{-1})(1-q^{-2})$	$q^{m+1}(q-1)/2$	all
(8.6.2)	$q^{m+5}(1-q^{-1})^2(1-q^{-2})$	$q^{m+2}(1-q^{-1})$	all
(8.6.2)	$q^{m+3}(1-q^{-1})^3$	$q^{m+1}(q-1)^2/2$	all
(8.6.2) (8.6.3)	$q^{m+3}(1-q^{-1})^2$	$2q^{m+1}(q-1)$	all
(8.6.2)	$q^{m+3}(1-q^{-1})(1-q^{-2})$	$q^m(q-1)(q^2-q)/2$	all
(8.6.4) (8.6.5) (8.6.7)	$q^{m+3}(1-q^{-1})$	$q^{m+1}+2q^m$	q^{m+1}
(8.6.6) (8.6.8)	$q^{m+5}(1-q^{-1})^2$	$2q^{m+1}$	0
	Totals:	$q^m(q^3+2q^2+2q+2)$	$q^m(q^3+2q^2)$

9. Class Equation

Now let R be a finite principal ideal local ring of length two with residue field of cardinality q. We are now ready to enumerate the similarity classes in $M_n(R)$ along with the cardinalities of their centralizers for $n = 2, 3, 4$.

Recall from Section 4 that each similarity class of $n \times n$ matrices can be identified with the set $C(n)$ of all functions $c : \mathrm{Irr}(k[t]) \to \Lambda$ satisfying (4.1).

Definition 9.1 (Type). Two similarity classes c and c' in $M_n(k)$ are said to be of the same type if there exists a degree-preserving permutation $\phi : \mathrm{Irr}(k[t]) \to \mathrm{Irr}(k[t])$ such that $c' = c \circ \phi$.

Thus the type of a class only remembers the degree of each irreducible polynomial p and the combinatorial data $\phi(p)$ coming from the rational normal form of the p-primary part of the class.

Definition 9.2 (Primary Type). A primary type of size n is a pair (λ, d), where λ is a partition and d is a positive integer (the degree) such that $|\lambda|d = n$. For brevity of notation the primary type (λ, d) will be denoted by λ_d.

Thus a type is an unordered tuple of primary types whose sizes sum to n. For each $c \in C(n)$, let $\tau(c)$ denote the unordered tuple of primary types $\phi(f)_{\deg f}$, as f ranges over the set $\mathrm{Irr}(k[t])$. Clearly,

Lemma 9.3. *Two classes c and c' in $C(n)$ are of the same type if $\tau(c) = \tau(c')$.*

Example 9.4. There are four types of classes of 2×2 matrices:

(9.4.1) $(1,1)_1$ (central type)
(9.4.2) $(2)_1$ (non-semisimple type)
(9.4.3) $(1)_1, (1)_1$ (split regular semisimple type)
(9.4.4) $(1)_2$ (irreducible type)

Combinatorial invariants of a similarity class can be computed in terms of its type as follows: for a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_l)$ (parts written in decreasing order), define

$$m(\lambda) = \lambda_l + 3\lambda_{l-1} + \cdots + (2l-1)\lambda_1.$$

One may deduce the following lemma from the description of centralizers of matrices due to Singla [**19**, Section 2.3].

Theorem 9.5. *The centralizer algebra of a matrix of primary type (λ, d) is a vector space of dimension $dm(\lambda)$. If the entries are in the finite field $\mathbf{F}_q$, then the centralizer group in $GL_n(\mathbf{F}_q)$ is of order*

$$q^{dm(\lambda)} \prod_i [(1-q^{-d})(q-q^{-2d}) \cdots (1-q^{-(m_i-1)d})]$$

where, for each positive integer i, m_i denotes the number of times that i occurs in λ.

For an arbitrary type, the centralizer algebra (or centralizer group) is the product of the centralizer algebras (or groups) of the primary types that occur in it. In particular, the dimension of the centralizer algebra is given by

$$z_\tau = \sum_{(\lambda,d)} dm(\lambda), \tag{9.6}$$

the sum being over all the primary types in τ.

Example 9.7. For the type $\tau = (2,1,1)_1, (1)_2$ (which is of size 6), the centralizer algebra is of dimension

$$z_\tau = m(2,1,1) + 2m(1) = 12.$$

Table 5. 2×2 case

τ	z_τ	n_τ	n_τ^*	orbit size	no. of elements
$(1)_2$	2	$(q^2-q)/2$	$(q^2-q)/2$	$q^2(1-q^{-2})$	q^2
$(2)_1$	2	q	$q-1$	$q^2(1-q^{-1})$	q^2
$(1)_1^2$	2	$q(q-1)/2$	$(q-1)(q-2)/2$	$q^2(1-q^{-1})^2$	q^2
$(1^2)_1$	4	q	$q-1$	$q^2(1-q^{-2})$	$(q^2-q)/2$
				$q^2(1-q^{-1})$	q
				$q^2(1-q^{-1})^2$	$q(q-1)/2$
				$q^4(1-q^{-1})(1-q^{-2})$	q

For $k = \mathbf{F}_q$, the centralizer group has cardinality

$$[q^{10}(1-q^{-1})^2(1-q^{-2})][q^2(1-q^{-2})].$$

Theorem 9.8. *Let k be a finite field of order q. For every positive integer N, the number of similarity classes in $M_n(k)$ whose centralizer in $GL_n(R)$ has N elements is*

$$\sum_\tau n_\tau \#\{\xi \in \big(G_{A_\tau}\backslash E_{k[t]}(M^{A_\tau}, M^{A_\tau})\big) \;:\; q^{z_\tau}|G_\xi| = N\},$$

where the sum is over all types τ of similarity classes in $M_n(k)$, and for each τ, n_τ denotes the number of similarity classes in $M_n(k)$ of type τ, z_τ is given by (9.6), and A_τ represents any matrix of type τ. Similarly, the number of conjugacy classes in the group $GL_n(R)$ whose centralizer has N elements is

$$\sum_\tau n_\tau^* \#\{\xi \in \big(G_{A_\tau}\backslash E_{k[t]}(M^{A_\tau}, M^{A_\tau})\big) \;:\; q^{z_\tau}|G_\xi| = N\},$$

where n_τ^* denotes the number of invertible similarity classes in $M_n(k)$ of type τ.

Remark 9.9. The numbers n_τ and n_τ^* are not difficult to compute: the number $\Phi_n(q)$ of irreducible monic polynomials of degree n in $\mathbf{F}_q[t]$ is given by the following well-known formula:

$$\Phi_n(q) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{d|n} \mu(n/d) q^d,$$

where μ denotes the classical Möbius function. For each degree d, let $n_d(\tau)$ denote the number of primary types in τ of degree d. If $d = 1$, let $\Phi_d^*(q) = \Phi_d(q) - 1$, otherwise let $\Phi_d^*(q) = \Phi_d(q)$ (thus $\Phi_d^*(q)$ is the number of irreducible polynomials of degree d other than the linear polynomial t). Then

$$n_\tau = \prod_d \binom{\Phi_d(q)}{n_d(\tau)}; \quad n^*_\tau = \prod_d \binom{\Phi^*_d(q)}{n_d(\tau)}.$$

TABLE 6. Number of similarity classes

classes in	number
$M_2(R)$	$q^4+q^3+q^2$
$GL_2(R)$	q^4-q
$M_3(R)$	$q^6+q^5+2q^4+q^3+2q^2$
$GL_3(R)$	$q^6-q^3+2q^2-2q$
$M_4(R)$	$q^8+q^7+3q^6+3q^5+5q^4+3q^3+3q^2$
$GL_4(R)$	$q^8+q^6-q^5+2q^4-2q^3+2q^2-3q$

TABLE 7. Number of self-transpose similarity classes

classes in	number
$M_2(R)$	$q^4+q^3+q^2$
$GL_2(R)$	q^4-q
$M_3(R)$	$q^6+q^5+2q^4+q^3$
$GL_3(R)$	q^6-q^3
$M_4(R)$	$q^8+q^7+3q^6+3q^5+2q^4+3q^3+q^2$
$GL_4(R)$	$q^8+q^6-q^5-q^4+3q^3-2q^2-q$

The preceding theorems, along with the results of Section 8 can be used to compute the number of similarity classes over any matrix in $M_n(k)$ whose centralizer has a given cardinality for $n \leq 4$. One may also compute the number of similarity classes in $M_n(R)$ which lie above the class of a given matrix $A \in M_n(k)$ in terms of the type of A. Among these the self-transpose classes can also be enumerated.

For $n = 2$, the necessary data is summarized in Table 5. For each type τ, the right half of the table lists the possible cardinalities of centralizers of elements in $E_{k[t]}(M^A, M^A)$, along with the number of orbits with that cardinality.

Similar tables can be constructed for $n = 3$ and 4 using the results of Section 8, but since the tables become large and unwieldy, these calculations have been implemented using the Sage mathematical software. The code [**16**] can compute the total numbers of similarity classes, as given in Tables 6 and 7, as well as generate lists consisting of cardinalities that occur for similarity classes (with the possibility of restricting to invertible or self-transpose classes) along with the number of similarity classes with that cardinality.

10. Case where R is not principal

Let R be a local ring with maximal ideal P, not necessarily principal, satisfying $P^2 = 0$. Write k again for the residue field. In this section we sketch how the results of this paper may be adapted to understand the conjugacy classes of $M_n(R)$. Note that P may be viewed as a k-vector space, and that we have the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow P \xrightarrow{\iota} R \xrightarrow{p} k \longrightarrow 0 \tag{10.1}$$

of R-modules. Here ι is the usual inclusion. Let $\mathbf{A} \in M_n(R)$ with $r(\mathbf{A}) = A \in M_n(k)$, and write $M^{\mathbf{A}}$ as before for the $R[t]$-module structure on R^n determined by $\mathbf{A}$. Tensoring the above sequence with $M^{\mathbf{A}}$ gives

$$0 \longrightarrow M^A \otimes_k P \xrightarrow{\iota} M^{\mathbf{A}} \xrightarrow{p} M^A \longrightarrow 0\,. \tag{10.2}$$

As R-modules this is identical with the n-fold direct sum

$$0 \longrightarrow P^n \xrightarrow{\iota} R^n \xrightarrow{p} k^n \longrightarrow 0 \tag{ξ_0}$$

of (10.1).

Using the methods of Section 2 we obtain

$$0 \longrightarrow E_{k[t]}(M^A, M^A) \otimes_k P \xrightarrow{\iota} E_{R[t]}(M^A \otimes_k P, M^A) \xrightarrow{\omega} E_R(M^A \otimes_k P, M^A).$$

As before, one finds that G_A acts (diagonally) on all terms of this sequence, and that $\omega^{-1}(\xi_0)$ is a sub-G_A-set of $E_{R[t]}(M^A \otimes_k P, M^A)$. Lemma 2.4 and Theorem 2.5 hold as stated, reducing the problem to finding the G_A-orbits in $\omega^{-1}(\xi_0)$.

As before we obtain:

THEOREM 10.3. *There exists an bijection between the sets* $\omega^{-1}(\xi_0)$ *and* $E_{k[t]}(M^A, M^A) \otimes_k P$ *which preserves the action of* G_A.

From this we obtain:

COROLLARY 10.4. *The similarity classes in* $M_n(R)$ *depend on* R *only through* k *and the dimension of* P *over* k.

To understand $E_{k[t]}(M^A, M^A) \otimes_k P$ we may reduce to the case in which $A = C_\lambda(p)$ as before.

Suppose that P is finite-dimensional as a k-space, of dimension d. The k-space $E_{k[t]}(M^A, M^A) \otimes_k P$ can be understood as a d-fold copy of the spaces $E_\lambda(p)$, considered with the *diagonal* action of $G_\lambda(p)$. Thus, the problem is to determine the equivalence classes of d-tuples of relations matrices under this diagonal action.

To give an example, let k be a field, and $d \geq 1$. Put

$$R = k[x_1, \ldots, x_d]/(x_1, \ldots, x_d)^2. \tag{10.5}$$

Then R is a local ring with maximal ideal $P = (x_1, \ldots, x_d)$ satisfying $P^2 = 0$, and residue field k. Moreover P has dimension d as a k-space. Let $A = 0 \in M_n(k)$. Then the $GL_n(R)$-conjugacy classes of fibres over A correspond to equivalence classes of d-tuples of matrices in $M_n(k)$ under conjugation by $GL_n(k)$. In the case that $d = 2$ this is exactly the matrix pair problem, which as noted by Drozd [**7**] is a "classical unsolved problem" of "extreme difficulty". We therefore do not pursue it further here.

Acknowledgements. The first author thanks Joseph Oesterlé and S. Viswanath for some helpful conversations. The third author thanks Neil Epstein for suggesting Section 10. He is also grateful to Patrick Soboleski, whose undergraduate research project motivated Section 7.

References

[1] H. Appelgate and H. Onishi. The similarity problem for 3 × 3 integer matrices. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 42:159–174, 1982.

[2] N. Avni, U. Onn, A. Prasad, and L. Vaserstein. Similarity classes of 3 × 3 matrices over a local principal ideal ring. *Comm. Algebra*, 37(8):2601–2615, 2009.

[3] G. Birkhoff. Subgroups of Abelian Groups. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, s2-38(1):385–401, 1935.

[4] H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg. *Homological Algebra.* Princeton University Press, 1956.

[5] R. W. Davis. Certain matrix equations over rings of integers. *Duke Math. J.*, 35:49–59, 1968.

[6] J. Denef and L. Van den Dries. p-adic and real subanalytic sets. *The Annals of Mathematics*, 128(1):79–138, 1988.

[7] J. A. Drozd. Tame and wild matrix problems. In *Representation theory, II (Proc. Second Internat. Conf., Carleton Univ., Ottawa, Ont., 1979)*, volume 832 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 242–258. Springer, Berlin, 1980.

[8] M. du Sautoy. Counting conjugacy classes. *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 37(1):37–44, 2005.

[9] J. A. Green. The characters of the finite general linear groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 80:402–447, 1955.

[10] N. Jacobson. *Basic Algebra*, volume I. W. H. Freeman and Company, 1974.

[11] S. Jambor and W. Plesken. Normal forms for matrices over uniserial rings of length two. *Journal of Algebra*, 358:250 – 256, 2012.

[12] D. E. Knuth. Subspaces, subsets, and partitions. *J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. A*, 10:178–180, 1971.

[13] S. V. Nagornyĭ. Complex representations of the general linear group of degree three modulo a power of a prime. *Zap. Naučn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI)*, 75:143–150, 197–198, 1978. Rings and linear groups.

[14] A. A. Nechaev. Similarity of matrices over a commutative local Artinian ring. *Trudy Sem. Petrovsk.*, (9):81–101, 1983.

[15] J. Pomfret. Similarity of matrices over finite rings. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 37:421–422, 1973.

[16] A. Prasad. Sage code for *Similarity of matrices over local rings of length two* similarity.sage (source code), similarity.sws (example worksheet). Also available online from `http://www.imsc.res.in/~amri/similarity/`

[17] A. Prasad, I. Shapiro, and M. Vemuri. Locally compact abelian groups with symplectic self-duality. *Advances in Mathematics*, 225:2429–2454, 2010.

[18] J.-P. Serre. *Corps locaux.* Hermann, Paris, 1968. Troisième édition, Publications de l'Université de Nancago, No. VIII.

[19] P. Singla. *Irreducible representations and conjugacy classes of general linear groups over principal ideal local rings of length two.* PhD thesis, The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, 2010.

[20] P. F. Stebe. Conjugacy separability of groups of integer matrices. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 32:1–7, 1972.

[21] W. Stein et al. *Sage Mathematics Software (Version 5.4.1)*. The Sage Development Team, 2012. `http://www.sagemath.org`.

[22] S. O. Warnaar and W. Zudilin. A q-rious positivity. *Aequationes Math.*, 81(1-2):177–183, 2011.